

## BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

## 1. Technical Field:

5 The present invention relates in general to designing and simulating digital devices, modules and systems. In particular, the present invention relates to a method and system that improve the model build and simulation processes in order to allow a designer to easily instrument and monitor a simulation model. More particularly, the 10 present invention relates to implementing randomized logic within hardware description language simulation models.

## 2. Description of the Related Art:

20 Verifying the logical correctness of a digital design and debugging the design, if necessary, are very important steps in most digital design processes. Logic networks are tested either by actually building networks or by simulating networks on a computer. As logic networks become highly complex, it becomes necessary to simulate a design before the design is actually built. This is especially true when the design is implemented as an 25 integrated circuit, since the fabrication of integrated circuits requires considerable time and correction of mistakes is quite costly. The goal of digital design simulation is the verification of the logical correctness of the design.

30 In a typical automated design process that is supported by a conventional electronic computer-aided design (ECAD) system, a designer enters a high-level

5 description utilizing a hardware description language (HDL), such as VHDL, producing a representation of the various circuit blocks and their interconnections. The ECAD system compiles the design description into a format that is best suited for simulation. A simulator is then utilized to verify the logical correctness of the design prior to developing a circuit layout.

10 A simulator is typically a software tool that operates on a digital representation, or simulation model of a circuit, and a list of input stimuli representing inputs of the digital system. A simulator generates a numerical representation of the response of the circuit which may then either be viewed on the display screen as a list of values or further interpreted, often by a separate software program, and presented on the display screen in graphical form. The simulator may be run either on a general purpose computer or on another piece of electronic apparatus specially designed for simulation. Simulators that run entirely in software on a general purpose computer will hereinafter be referred to as "software simulators". Simulators that are run with the assistance of specially designed electronic apparatus will hereinafter be referred to as "hardware simulators".

15

20 25 Usually, software simulators perform a very large number of calculations and operate slowly from the user's point of view. In order to optimize performance, the format of the simulation model is designed for very efficient use by the simulator. Hardware simulators, by nature, require that the simulation model comprising the circuit description be communicated in a specially designed format. In either case, a translation from an HDL description to a simulation format, hereinafter referred to as a simulation executable model, is required.

30

35

00000000000000000000000000000000

Simulation has become a very costly and time-consuming segment of the overall design process as designs become increasingly complex. Therefore, great expense is invested to ensure the highest possible accuracy and efficiency in the processes utilized to verify digital designs. A useful method of addressing design complexity is to simulate digital designs at several levels of abstraction. At the functional level, system operation is described in terms of a sequence of transactions between registers, adders, memories and other functional units. Simulation at the functional level is utilized to verify the high-level design of high-level systems.

At the logical level, a digital system is described in terms of logic elements such as logic gates and flip-flops. Simulation at the logic level is utilized to verify the correctness of the logic design. At the circuit level, each logic gate is described in terms of its circuit components such as transistors, impedances, capacitances, and other such devices. Simulation at the circuit level provides detailed information about voltage levels and switching speeds.

VHDL is a higher level language for describing the hardware design of complex devices. The overall circuit design is frequently divided into smaller parts, hereinafter referred to as design entities, that are individually designed, often by different design engineers, and then combined in a hierarchical manner to create an overall model. This hierarchical design technique is very useful in managing the enormous complexity of the overall design. Another advantage of this approach is that errors in a design entity are easier to detect when that entity is simulated in isolation.

5           A problem arises however when the overall model is simulated as a whole. Compound errors may occur which mask other individual errors. Further, the enormity of modern digital design complexity makes the errors in each design entity difficult to recognize. Therefore, although the hierarchical nature of VHDL eases the development and modeling phases of complex designs, problems with obtaining accurate and comprehensive simulation test results of the overall design remain unresolved.

10

15           Therefore, there is a need to accurately monitor characteristics of specific modules or submodules of a large scale design in order to more efficiently and accurately diagnose problems with and assess the correctness of the overall design.

DRAFT  
15  
-  
20  
-  
25  
-  
30  
-  
35

20           A current method of verifying large scale design models is to integrate programs written in high level languages such as C or C++ into the overall HDL design flow. Often, one or more custom-developed programs written in a high-level programming language are incorporated into the verification strategy as follows. The high level-language program or programs, hereinafter referred to as a reference model, are written and process test vectors to produce expected results. The reference model supplies the "expected correct result" of any given simulation run. The test vector is then run on the simulation execution model by the simulator. The results of the simulation run are then compared to the results predicted by the reference model. Discrepancies are flagged as errors. Such a simulation check is known by those skilled in the art as an "end-to-end" check. This method of "end-to-end" checking has two problems. First, the problem of masking of internal logic failures remains as these errors may not propagate to the final results of the circuit checked in an

end-to-end test. Second, an end-to-end check may fail to catch an intermediate failure that occurred during the simulation run but was masked or overwritten by a later action in the simulation run.

5

A current method of overcoming these problems involves writing verification programs at the simulation phase of the design process that are designed to monitor, during the course of a simulation run, correctness characteristics and intermediate results. These verification programs are typically written in high level programming languages such as C or C++, since design languages such as HDLs are specialized to suit the needs of digital designers. Furthermore, languages such as C and C++ typically have greater expressiveness than HDL languages thereby facilitating the creation of complex checking programs. A problem associated with this method, however, is that it adds further complexity to the simulation process by requiring an extra communication step between designers and simulation programmers. The efficiency and effectiveness of the simulation testing are therefore reduced. Another problem with utilizing verification programs written in languages such as C++ or C is that these programs are not amenable to execution on a hardware simulator. In general, hardware simulators must be stopped after each simulation time period and the verification programs allowed to access the executable simulation model internals to perform their checking and monitoring functions. Such stoppages usually have a dramatic negative impact on the performance of hardware simulators.

10

DRAFT  
09/15/2000  
15

20

25

30

35

Based on the foregoing, it can be appreciated that a need exists for a method and system that utilize the inherent hierarchical and modular nature of HDLs to provide simulation instrumentation in the form of HDL entities for

5 digital circuit design simulation models. Such a method and system would be useful by permitting accurate monitoring of performance characteristics of specific modules or components of an overall model in order to more efficiently and accurately identify failures and assess the logical correctness of the overall model.

09/22/2000 11:23:00

### SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

A method, system, and data structure for incorporating random instrumentation logic within a simulation model are disclosed herein. The data structure of the present invention includes a first field containing data representing an assigned target and a second field containing data representing a logic value to be assigned to the assigned target. The first field and the second field are incorporated within a comment line of a design target entity source code file. A prepended comment identifier field identifies the data structure as a hardware description language comment.

Design target entity

## BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The novel features believed characteristic of the invention are set forth in the appended claims. The invention itself, however, as well as a preferred mode of use, further objects, and advantages thereof, will best be understood by reference to the following detailed description of an illustrative embodiment when read in conjunction with the accompanying drawings, wherein:

**FIG. 1** is a pictorial representation of a data processing system in which a preferred embodiment of the present invention may be practiced;

**FIG. 2** depicts a representative hardware environment of the data processing system illustrated in **FIG. 1**;

**FIG. 3A** is a simplified block diagram illustrating a digital design entity that may be instrumented in accordance with a preferred embodiment of the present invention;

**FIG. 3B** is a diagrammatic representation depicting a simulation model that may be instrumental in accordance with a preferred embodiment of the present invention;

**FIG. 3C** is a flow diagram illustrating of a model build process in which a preferred embodiment of the present invention may be implemented;

**FIG. 3D** is a block diagram depicting data structures

that may be instrumental in accordance with a preferred embodiment of the present invention;

5           **FIG. 4A** is a simplified block diagram representative of an instrumentation entity utilized in a preferred embodiment of the present invention;

10           **FIG. 4B** is a simplified block diagram of a simulation model instrumented in accordance with the teachings of the present invention;

15           **FIG. 4C** illustrates exemplary sections of HDL syntax that maybe utilized in a preferred embodiment of the present invention;

20           **FIG. 4D** is a flow diagram depicting a model build process in accordance with the teachings of the present invention;

25           **FIG. 4E** is a block diagram representation of memory data structures constructed in accordance with the teachings of the present invention;

30           **FIG. 5A** is a logic diagram representation of a runtime disable mechanism in accordance with a preferred embodiment of the present invention;

35           **FIG. 5B** is a block diagram representation of functional units utilized to execute the method and system of the present invention on a hardware simulator in accordance with the teachings of the present

invention;

5                   **FIG. 6A** is a simplified gate level representation of an exemplary counting instrument with a runtime disable feature and automatic clocking adjustment in accordance with the teachings of the present invention;

10                  **FIG. 6B** is a simplified timing diagram illustrating automatic clocking adjustment of counting instrumentation in accordance with a preferred embodiment of the present invention;

15                  **FIG. 7** depicts an alternative counting means that may be employed for counting events detected by instrumentation entities in accordance with the teachings of the present invention;

20                  **FIG. 8A** illustrates a conventional finite state machine that may be instrumented with an embedded checker in accordance with the teachings of the present invention;

25                  **FIG. 8B** depicts a conventional finite state machine design entity;

**FIG. 8C** illustrates a hardware description language file including embedded instrumentation in accordance with a preferred embodiment of the present invention; and

30                  **FIG. 9** depicts a hardware description language design entity included embedded instrumentation in accordance with a preferred embodiment of the present

invention;

5                   **FIG. 10A** is a block diagram illustrating a simulation model containing a number of design and instrumentation entities;

10                  **FIG. 10B** depicts a data structure for declaring an event within a simulation model in accordance with one embodiment of the present invention;

15                  **FIG. 10C** illustrates a list of extended event data structures for the simulation model in **FIG. 10A**;

20                  **FIG. 10D** depicts a data structure for declaring an event within a simulation model in accordance with an alternate embodiment of the present invention;

25                  **FIG. 11A** is a block diagram illustrating a simulation model in which the hierarchical event processing of the present invention is applicable;

30                  **FIG. 11B** depicts a set of input port mapping comments for performing hierarchical processing of simulation model events in accordance with a first embodiment of the present invention;

35                  **FIG. 11C** illustrates a set of input port mapping comments for performing hierarchical processing of simulation model events in accordance with a second embodiment of the present invention;

40                  **FIG. 12A** depicts a representative target design

DRAFT PAGES 15-20

entity with an instrumentation entity containing random instrumentation logic implemented in accordance with a preferred embodiment of the present invention; and

5           **FIG. 12B** illustrates an exemplary HDL file for implementing instrumentation logic within an HDL design entity in accordance with a preferred embodiment of the present invention.

## DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENT

The present invention provides for accurate and comprehensive monitoring of a digital circuit design in which a designer creates instrumentation modules utilizing the same hardware description language (HDL) as utilized for the design itself. HDLs, while suited to the needs of digital designers can also be effectively utilized for a number of checking functions. In accordance with the Method and System of the present invention, instrumentation modules are utilized to monitor specified design parameters while not becoming compiled as an integral part of the design itself. Furthermore, since the instrumentation modules are written in the same HDL as utilized in the actual design, such modules are platform and simulator independent. Unlike checking done with C or C++ programs, HDL instrumentation can be compiled and run directly without loss of performance on hardware simulators.

With reference now to the figures, and in particular with reference to **FIG. 1**, there is depicted a pictorial representation of a data processing system **10** with which the present invention may be advantageously utilized. As illustrated, data processing system **10** comprises a workstation **12** to which one or more nodes **13** are connected. Workstation **12** preferably comprises a high performance multiprocessor computer, such as the RISC System/6000 or AS/400 computer systems available from International Business Machines Corporation (IBM). Workstation **12** preferably includes nonvolatile and volatile internal storage for storing software

applications comprising an ECAD system, which can be utilized to develop and verify a digital circuit design in accordance with the method and system of the present invention. As depicted, nodes 13 are comprised of a display device 14, a keyboard 16, and a mouse 20. The ECAD software applications executed within workstation 12 preferably display a graphic user interface (GUI) within display screen 22 of display device 14 with which a digital circuit designer can interact using a keyboard 16 and mouse 20. Thus, by entering appropriate inputs utilizing keyboard 16 and mouse 20, the digital circuit designer is able to develop and verify a digital circuit design according to the method described further hereinbelow.

**FIG. 2** depicts a representative hardware environment of data processing system 10. Data processing system 10 is configured to include all functional components of a computer and its associated hardware. Data processing system 10 includes a Central Processing Unit ("CPU") 24, such as a conventional microprocessor, and a number of other units interconnected via system bus 26. CPU 24 includes a portion of data processing system 10 that controls the operation of the entire computer system, including executing the arithmetical and logical functions contained in a particular computer program. Although not depicted in **FIG. 2**, CPUs such as CPU 24 typically include a control unit that organizes data and program storage in a computer memory and transfers the data and other information between the various parts of the computer system. Such CPUs also generally include an

5 arithmetic unit that executes the arithmetical and logical operations, such as addition, comparison, multiplications and so forth. Such components and units of data processing system 10 can be implemented in a system unit such as workstation 12 of FIG. 1.

10 Data processing system 10 further includes random-access memory (RAM) 28, read-only memory (ROM) 30, display adapter 32 for connecting system bus 26 to display device 14, and I/O adapter 34 for connecting peripheral devices (e.g., disk and tape drives 33) to system bus 26. RAM 28 is a type of memory designed such that the location of data stored in it is independent of the content. Also, any location in RAM 28 can be accessed directly without having to work through from the beginning. ROM 30 is a type of memory that retains information permanently and in which the stored information cannot be altered by a program or normal operation of a computer.

20  
25 Display device 14 provides the visual output of data processing system 10. Display device 14 can be a cathode-ray tube (CRT) based video display well known in the art of computer hardware. However, with a portable or notebook-based computer, display device 14 can be replaced with a liquid crystal display (LCD) based or gas plasma-based flat-panel display. Data processing system 10 further includes user interface adapter 36 for connecting keyboard 16, mouse 20, speaker 38, microphone 40, and/or other user interface devices, such as a touch-screen device (not shown), to system bus 26. Speaker 38

is one type of audio device that may be utilized in association with the method and system provided herein to assist diagnosticians or computer users in analyzing data processing system 10 for system failures, errors, and discrepancies. Communications adapter 42 connects data processing system 10 to a computer network. Although data processing system 10 is shown to contain only a single CPU and a single system bus, it should be understood that the present invention applies equally to computer systems that have multiple CPUs and to computer systems that have multiple buses that each perform different functions in different ways.

Data processing system 10 also includes an interface that resides within a machine-readable media to direct the operation of data processing system 10. Any suitable machine-readable media may retain the interface, such as RAM 28, ROM 30, a magnetic disk, magnetic tape, or optical disk (the last three being located in disk and tape drives 33). Any suitable operating system and associated interface (e.g., Microsoft Windows) may direct CPU 24. For example, the AIX operating system and AIX Windows windowing system can direct CPU 24. The AIX operating system is IBM's implementation of the UNIX™ operating system. Other technologies also can be utilized in conjunction with CPU 24, such as touch-screen technology or human voice control.

Those skilled in the art will appreciate that the hardware depicted in FIG. 2 may vary for specific design and simulation applications. For example, other peripheral devices such as optical disk media, audio

adapters, or chip programming devices, such as PAL or EPROM programming devices well-known in the art of computer hardware and the like, may be utilized in addition to or in place of the hardware already depicted.

5 In addition, main memory **44** is connected to system bus **26**, and includes a control program **46**. Control program **46** resides within main memory **44**, and contains instructions that, when executed on CPU **24**, carries out the operations depicted in **FIG. 4D** and **FIG. 4E** described herein.

10  
20  
25  
30  
35  
40  
45  
50  
55  
60  
65  
70  
75  
80  
85  
90  
95  
100  
105  
110  
115  
120  
125  
130  
135  
140  
145  
150  
155  
160  
165  
170  
175  
180  
185  
190  
195  
200  
205  
210  
215  
220  
225  
230  
235  
240  
245  
250  
255  
260  
265  
270  
275  
280  
285  
290  
295  
300  
305  
310  
315  
320  
325  
330  
335  
340  
345  
350  
355  
360  
365  
370  
375  
380  
385  
390  
395  
400  
405  
410  
415  
420  
425  
430  
435  
440  
445  
450  
455  
460  
465  
470  
475  
480  
485  
490  
495  
500  
505  
510  
515  
520  
525  
530  
535  
540  
545  
550  
555  
560  
565  
570  
575  
580  
585  
590  
595  
600  
605  
610  
615  
620  
625  
630  
635  
640  
645  
650  
655  
660  
665  
670  
675  
680  
685  
690  
695  
700  
705  
710  
715  
720  
725  
730  
735  
740  
745  
750  
755  
760  
765  
770  
775  
780  
785  
790  
795  
800  
805  
810  
815  
820  
825  
830  
835  
840  
845  
850  
855  
860  
865  
870  
875  
880  
885  
890  
895  
900  
905  
910  
915  
920  
925  
930  
935  
940  
945  
950  
955  
960  
965  
970  
975  
980  
985  
990  
995  
1000  
1005  
1010  
1015  
1020  
1025  
1030  
1035  
1040  
1045  
1050  
1055  
1060  
1065  
1070  
1075  
1080  
1085  
1090  
1095  
1100  
1105  
1110  
1115  
1120  
1125  
1130  
1135  
1140  
1145  
1150  
1155  
1160  
1165  
1170  
1175  
1180  
1185  
1190  
1195  
1200  
1205  
1210  
1215  
1220  
1225  
1230  
1235  
1240  
1245  
1250  
1255  
1260  
1265  
1270  
1275  
1280  
1285  
1290  
1295  
1300  
1305  
1310  
1315  
1320  
1325  
1330  
1335  
1340  
1345  
1350  
1355  
1360  
1365  
1370  
1375  
1380  
1385  
1390  
1395  
1400  
1405  
1410  
1415  
1420  
1425  
1430  
1435  
1440  
1445  
1450  
1455  
1460  
1465  
1470  
1475  
1480  
1485  
1490  
1495  
1500  
1505  
1510  
1515  
1520  
1525  
1530  
1535  
1540  
1545  
1550  
1555  
1560  
1565  
1570  
1575  
1580  
1585  
1590  
1595  
1600  
1605  
1610  
1615  
1620  
1625  
1630  
1635  
1640  
1645  
1650  
1655  
1660  
1665  
1670  
1675  
1680  
1685  
1690  
1695  
1700  
1705  
1710  
1715  
1720  
1725  
1730  
1735  
1740  
1745  
1750  
1755  
1760  
1765  
1770  
1775  
1780  
1785  
1790  
1795  
1800  
1805  
1810  
1815  
1820  
1825  
1830  
1835  
1840  
1845  
1850  
1855  
1860  
1865  
1870  
1875  
1880  
1885  
1890  
1895  
1900  
1905  
1910  
1915  
1920  
1925  
1930  
1935  
1940  
1945  
1950  
1955  
1960  
1965  
1970  
1975  
1980  
1985  
1990  
1995  
2000  
2005  
2010  
2015  
2020  
2025  
2030  
2035  
2040  
2045  
2050  
2055  
2060  
2065  
2070  
2075  
2080  
2085  
2090  
2095  
2100  
2105  
2110  
2115  
2120  
2125  
2130  
2135  
2140  
2145  
2150  
2155  
2160  
2165  
2170  
2175  
2180  
2185  
2190  
2195  
2200  
2205  
2210  
2215  
2220  
2225  
2230  
2235  
2240  
2245  
2250  
2255  
2260  
2265  
2270  
2275  
2280  
2285  
2290  
2295  
2300  
2305  
2310  
2315  
2320  
2325  
2330  
2335  
2340  
2345  
2350  
2355  
2360  
2365  
2370  
2375  
2380  
2385  
2390  
2395  
2400  
2405  
2410  
2415  
2420  
2425  
2430  
2435  
2440  
2445  
2450  
2455  
2460  
2465  
2470  
2475  
2480  
2485  
2490  
2495  
2500  
2505  
2510  
2515  
2520  
2525  
2530  
2535  
2540  
2545  
2550  
2555  
2560  
2565  
2570  
2575  
2580  
2585  
2590  
2595  
2600  
2605  
2610  
2615  
2620  
2625  
2630  
2635  
2640  
2645  
2650  
2655  
2660  
2665  
2670  
2675  
2680  
2685  
2690  
2695  
2700  
2705  
2710  
2715  
2720  
2725  
2730  
2735  
2740  
2745  
2750  
2755  
2760  
2765  
2770  
2775  
2780  
2785  
2790  
2795  
2800  
2805  
2810  
2815  
2820  
2825  
2830  
2835  
2840  
2845  
2850  
2855  
2860  
2865  
2870  
2875  
2880  
2885  
2890  
2895  
2900  
2905  
2910  
2915  
2920  
2925  
2930  
2935  
2940  
2945  
2950  
2955  
2960  
2965  
2970  
2975  
2980  
2985  
2990  
2995  
3000  
3005  
3010  
3015  
3020  
3025  
3030  
3035  
3040  
3045  
3050  
3055  
3060  
3065  
3070  
3075  
3080  
3085  
3090  
3095  
3100  
3105  
3110  
3115  
3120  
3125  
3130  
3135  
3140  
3145  
3150  
3155  
3160  
3165  
3170  
3175  
3180  
3185  
3190  
3195  
3200  
3205  
3210  
3215  
3220  
3225  
3230  
3235  
3240  
3245  
3250  
3255  
3260  
3265  
3270  
3275  
3280  
3285  
3290  
3295  
3300  
3305  
3310  
3315  
3320  
3325  
3330  
3335  
3340  
3345  
3350  
3355  
3360  
3365  
3370  
3375  
3380  
3385  
3390  
3395  
3400  
3405  
3410  
3415  
3420  
3425  
3430  
3435  
3440  
3445  
3450  
3455  
3460  
3465  
3470  
3475  
3480  
3485  
3490  
3495  
3500  
3505  
3510  
3515  
3520  
3525  
3530  
3535  
3540  
3545  
3550  
3555  
3560  
3565  
3570  
3575  
3580  
3585  
3590  
3595  
3600  
3605  
3610  
3615  
3620  
3625  
3630  
3635  
3640  
3645  
3650  
3655  
3660  
3665  
3670  
3675  
3680  
3685  
3690  
3695  
3700  
3705  
3710  
3715  
3720  
3725  
3730  
3735  
3740  
3745  
3750  
3755  
3760  
3765  
3770  
3775  
3780  
3785  
3790  
3795  
3800  
3805  
3810  
3815  
3820  
3825  
3830  
3835  
3840  
3845  
3850  
3855  
3860  
3865  
3870  
3875  
3880  
3885  
3890  
3895  
3900  
3905  
3910  
3915  
3920  
3925  
3930  
3935  
3940  
3945  
3950  
3955  
3960  
3965  
3970  
3975  
3980  
3985  
3990  
3995  
4000  
4005  
4010  
4015  
4020  
4025  
4030  
4035  
4040  
4045  
4050  
4055  
4060  
4065  
4070  
4075  
4080  
4085  
4090  
4095  
4100  
4105  
4110  
4115  
4120  
4125  
4130  
4135  
4140  
4145  
4150  
4155  
4160  
4165  
4170  
4175  
4180  
4185  
4190  
4195  
4200  
4205  
4210  
4215  
4220  
4225  
4230  
4235  
4240  
4245  
4250  
4255  
4260  
4265  
4270  
4275  
4280  
4285  
4290  
4295  
4300  
4305  
4310  
4315  
4320  
4325  
4330  
4335  
4340  
4345  
4350  
4355  
4360  
4365  
4370  
4375  
4380  
4385  
4390  
4395  
4400  
4405  
4410  
4415  
4420  
4425  
4430  
4435  
4440  
4445  
4450  
4455  
4460  
4465  
4470  
4475  
4480  
4485  
4490  
4495  
4500  
4505  
4510  
4515  
4520  
4525  
4530  
4535  
4540  
4545  
4550  
4555  
4560  
4565  
4570  
4575  
4580  
4585  
4590  
4595  
4600  
4605  
4610  
4615  
4620  
4625  
4630  
4635  
4640  
4645  
4650  
4655  
4660  
4665  
4670  
4675  
4680  
4685  
4690  
4695  
4700  
4705  
4710  
4715  
4720  
4725  
4730  
4735  
4740  
4745  
4750  
4755  
4760  
4765  
4770  
4775  
4780  
4785  
4790  
4795  
4800  
4805  
4810  
4815  
4820  
4825  
4830  
4835  
4840  
4845  
4850  
4855  
4860  
4865  
4870  
4875  
4880  
4885  
4890  
4895  
4900  
4905  
4910  
4915  
4920  
4925  
4930  
4935  
4940  
4945  
4950  
4955  
4960  
4965  
4970  
4975  
4980  
4985  
4990  
4995  
5000  
5005  
5010  
5015  
5020  
5025  
5030  
5035  
5040  
5045  
5050  
5055  
5060  
5065  
5070  
5075  
5080  
5085  
5090  
5095  
5100  
5105  
5110  
5115  
5120  
5125  
5130  
5135  
5140  
5145  
5150  
5155  
5160  
5165  
5170  
5175  
5180  
5185  
5190  
5195  
5200  
5205  
5210  
5215  
5220  
5225  
5230  
5235  
5240  
5245  
5250  
5255  
5260  
5265  
5270  
5275  
5280  
5285  
5290  
5295  
5300  
5305  
5310  
5315  
5320  
5325  
5330  
5335  
5340  
5345  
5350  
5355  
5360  
5365  
5370  
5375  
5380  
5385  
5390  
5395  
5400  
5405  
5410  
5415  
5420  
5425  
5430  
5435  
5440  
5445  
5450  
5455  
5460  
5465  
5470  
5475  
5480  
5485  
5490  
5495  
5500  
5505  
5510  
5515  
5520  
5525  
5530  
5535  
5540  
5545  
5550  
5555  
5560  
5565  
5570  
5575  
5580  
5585  
5590  
5595  
5600  
5605  
5610  
5615  
5620  
5625  
5630  
5635  
5640  
5645  
5650  
5655  
5660  
5665  
5670  
5675  
5680  
5685  
5690  
5695  
5700  
5705  
5710  
5715  
5720  
5725  
5730  
5735  
5740  
5745  
5750  
5755  
5760  
5765  
5770  
5775  
5780  
5785  
5790  
5795  
5800  
5805  
5810  
5815  
5820  
5825  
5830  
5835  
5840  
5845  
5850  
5855  
5860  
5865  
5870  
5875  
5880  
5885  
5890  
5895  
5900  
5905  
5910  
5915  
5920  
5925  
5930  
5935  
5940  
5945  
5950  
5955  
5960  
5965  
5970  
5975  
5980  
5985  
5990  
5995  
6000  
6005  
6010  
6015  
6020  
6025  
6030  
6035  
6040  
6045  
6050  
6055  
6060  
6065  
6070  
6075  
6080  
6085  
6090  
6095  
6100  
6105  
6110  
6115  
6120  
6125  
6130  
6135  
6140  
6145  
6150  
6155  
6160  
6165  
6170  
6175  
6180  
6185  
6190  
6195  
6200  
6205  
6210  
6215  
6220  
6225  
6230  
6235  
6240  
6245  
6250  
6255  
6260  
6265  
6270  
6275  
6280  
6285  
6290  
6295  
6300  
6305  
6310  
6315  
6320  
6325  
6330  
6335  
6340  
6345  
6350  
6355  
6360  
6365  
6370  
6375  
6380  
6385  
6390  
6395  
6400  
6405  
6410  
6415  
6420  
6425  
6430  
6435  
6440  
6445  
6450  
6455  
6460  
6465  
6470  
6475  
6480  
6485  
6490  
6495  
6500  
6505  
6510  
6515  
6520  
6525  
6530  
6535  
6540  
6545  
6550  
6555  
6560  
6565  
6570  
6575  
6580  
6585  
6590  
6595  
6600  
6605  
6610  
6615  
6620  
6625  
6630  
6635  
6640  
6645  
6650  
6655  
6660  
6665  
6670  
6675  
6680  
6685  
6690  
6695  
6700  
6705  
6710  
6715  
6720  
6725  
6730  
6735  
6740  
6745  
6750  
6755  
6760  
6765  
6770  
6775  
6780  
6785  
6790  
6795  
6800  
6805  
6810  
6815  
6820  
6825  
6830  
6835  
6840  
6845  
6850  
6855  
6860  
6865  
6870  
6875  
6880  
6885  
6890  
6895  
6900  
6905  
6910  
6915  
6920  
6925  
6930  
6935  
6940  
6945  
6950  
6955  
6960  
6965  
6970  
6975  
6980  
6985  
6990  
6995  
7000  
7005  
7010  
7015  
7020  
7025  
7030  
7035  
7040  
7045  
7050  
7055  
7060  
7065  
7070  
7075  
7080  
7085  
7090  
7095  
7100  
7105  
7110  
7115  
7120  
7125  
7130  
7135  
7140  
7145  
7150  
7155  
7160  
7165  
7170  
7175  
7180  
7185  
7190  
7195  
7200  
7205  
7210  
7215  
7220  
7225  
7230  
7235  
7240  
7245  
7250  
7255  
7260  
7265  
7270  
7275  
7280  
7285  
7290  
7295  
7300  
7305  
7310  
7315  
7320  
7325  
7330  
7335  
7340  
7345  
7350  
7355  
7360  
7365  
7370  
7375  
7380  
7385  
7390  
7395  
7400  
7405  
7410  
7415  
7420  
7425  
7430  
7435  
7440  
7445  
7450  
7455  
7460  
7465  
7470  
7475  
7480  
7485  
7490  
7495  
7500  
7505  
7510  
7515  
7520  
7525  
7530  
7535  
7540  
7545  
7550  
7555  
7560  
7565  
7570  
7575  
7580  
7585  
7590  
7595  
7600  
7605  
7610  
7615  
7620  
7625  
7630  
7635  
7640  
7645  
7650  
7655  
7660  
7665  
7670  
7675  
7680  
7685  
7690  
7695  
7700  
7705  
7710  
7715  
7720  
7725  
7730  
7735  
7740  
7745  
7750  
7755  
7760  
7765  
7770  
7775  
7780  
7785  
7790  
7795  
7800  
7805  
7810  
7815  
7820  
7825  
7830  
7835  
7840  
7845  
7850  
7855  
7860  
7865  
7870  
7875  
7880  
7885  
7890  
7895  
7900  
7905  
7910  
7915  
7920  
7925  
7930  
7935  
7940  
7945  
7950  
7955  
7960  
7965  
7970  
7975  
7980  
7985  
7990  
7995  
8000  
8005  
8010  
8015  
8020  
8025  
8030  
8035  
8040  
8045  
8050  
8055  
8060  
8065  
8070  
8075  
8080  
8085  
8090  
8095  
8100  
8105  
8110  
8115  
8120  
8125  
8130  
8135  
8140  
8145  
8150  
8155  
8160  
8165  
8170  
8175  
8180  
8185  
8190  
8195  
8200  
8205  
8210  
8215  
8220  
8225  
8230  
8235  
8240  
8245  
8250  
8255  
8260  
8265  
8270  
8275  
8280  
8285  
8290  
8295  
8300  
8305  
8310  
8315  
8320  
8325  
8330  
8335  
8340  
8345  
8350  
8355  
8360  
8365  
8370  
8375  
8380  
8385  
8390  
8395  
8400  
8405  
8410  
8415  
8420  
8425  
8430  
8435  
8440  
8445  
8450  
8455  
8460  
8465  
8470  
8475  
8480  
8485  
8490  
8495  
8500  
8505  
8510  
8515  
8520  
8525  
8530  
8535  
8540  
8545  
8550  
8555  
8560  
8565  
8570  
8575  
8580  
8585  
8590  
8595  
8600  
8605  
8610  
8615  
8620  
8625  
8630  
8635  
8640  
8645  
8650  
8655  
8660  
8665  
8670  
8675  
8680  
8685  
8690  
8695  
8700  
8705  
8710  
8715  
8720  
8725  
8730  
8735  
8740  
8745  
8750  
8755  
8760  
8765  
8770  
8775  
8780  
8785  
8790  
8795  
8800  
8805  
8810  
8815  
8820  
8825  
8830  
8835  
8840  
8845  
8850  
8855  
8860  
8865  
8870  
8875  
8880  
8885  
8890  
8895  
8900  
8905  
8910  
8915  
8920  
8925  
8930  
8935  
8940  
8945  
8950  
8955  
8960  
8965  
8970  
8975  
8980  
8985  
8990  
8995  
9000  
9005  
9010  
9015  
9020  
9025  
9030  
9035  
9040  
9045  
9050  
9055  
9060  
9065  
9070  
9075  
9080  
9085  
9090  
9095  
9100  
9105  
9110  
9115  
9120  
9125  
9130  
9135  
9140  
9145  
9150  
9155  
9160  
9165  
9170  
9175  
9180  
9185  
9190  
9195  
9200  
9205  
9210  
9215  
9220  
9225  
9230  
9235  
9240  
9245  
9250  
9255  
9260  
9265  
9270  
9275  
9280  
9285  
9290  
9295  
9300  
9305  
9310  
9315  
9320  
9325  
9330  
9335  
9340  
9345  
9350  
9355  
9360  
9365  
9370  
9375  
9380  
9385  
9390  
9395  
9400  
9405  
9410  
9415

bi-directional ports. Design entity 300 is depicted in as having a number of input ports 303 that convey signals into design entity 300. Input ports 303 are connected to input signals 301. In addition, design entity 300 includes a number of output ports 306 that convey signals out of design entity 300. Output ports 306 are connected to a set of output signals 304. Bi-directional ports 305 are utilized to convey signals into and out of design entity 300. Bi-directional ports 305 are in turn connected to a set of bi-directional signals 309. An entity, such as design entity 300, need not contain ports of all three types, and in the degenerate case, contains no ports at all. To accomplish the connection of entity ports to external signals, a mapping technique, known as a "port map", is utilized. A port map (not explicitly depicted in FIG. 3A) consists of a specified correspondence between entity port names and external signals to which the entity is connected. When building a simulation model, ECAD software is utilized to connect external signals to appropriate ports of the entity according to a port map specification.

Finally, design entity 300 contains a body section 308 that describes one or more functions performed by design entity 300. In the case of a digital design, body section 308 contains an interconnection of logic gates, storage elements, etc., in addition to instantiations of other entities. By instantiating an entity within another entity, a hierarchical description of an overall design is achieved. For example, a microprocessor may contain multiple instances of an identical functional

RE2015  
T-100300  
000

unit. As such, the microprocessor itself will often be modeled as a single entity. Within the microprocessor entity, multiple instantiations of any duplicated functional entities will be present.

5

Referring now to **FIG. 3B**, there is illustrated a diagrammatic representation of an exemplary simulation model **329** in which a preferred embodiment of the present invention may be advantageously utilized. Simulation model **329** consists of multiple hierarchical entities. For visual simplicity and clarity, the ports and signals interconnecting the entities within simulation model **329** have not been explicitly shown. In any model, one and only one entity is the so-called "top-level entity". A top-level entity **320**, is that entity which encompasses all other entities within simulation model **329**. That is to say, top-level entity **320** instantiates, either directly or indirectly, all descendant entities within a design. Simulation model **329** consists of top-level entity **320** which directly instantiates two instances, **321a** and **321b**, of an FXU entity **321** and a single instance of an FPU entity **322**. Each instantiation has an associated description, which contains an entity name and a unique instantiation name. For top-level entity **320**, description **310** is labeled "TOP:TOP". Description **310** includes an entity name **312**, labeled as the "TOP" preceding the colon, and also includes an instantiation name **314**, labeled as the "TOP" following the colon.

25

It is possible for a particular entity to be instantiated multiple times as is depicted with

10  
15  
20  
25  
30  
35  
40  
45  
50  
55  
60  
65  
70  
75  
80  
85  
90  
95

30

instantiations **321a** and **321b** of FXU entity **321**.

Instantiations **321a** and **321b** are distinct instantiations of FXU entity **321** with instantiation names FXU0 and FXU1 respectively. Top-level entity **320** is at the highest level within the hierarchy of simulation model **329**. An entity that instantiates a descendant entity will be referred to hereinafter as an "ancestor" of the descendant entity. Top-level entity **320** is therefore the ancestor that directly instantiates FXU entity instantiations **321a** and **321b**. At any given level of a simulation model hierarchy, the instantiation names of all instantiations must be unique.

In addition to FXU entity instantiations **321a** and **321b**, top-level entity **320** directly instantiates a single instance of a FPU entity **322** having an entity name FPU and instantiation name FPU0. Within an entity description, it is common for the entity name to match the instantiation name when only one instance of that particular entity is placed at a given level of a simulation model hierarchy. However, this is not required as shown by entity **322** (instantiation name FPU0, entity name FPU).

Within instantiation **321a** of FXU entity **321**, single instance entities **325a** and **326a** of entity A **325** and entity B **326** respectively, are directly instantiated. Similarly instantiation **321b** of the same FXU entity contains instantiations **325b** and **326b** of entity A **325** and entity B **326** respectively. In a similar manner, instantiation **326a** and instantiation **326b** each directly

instantiate a single instance of entity C 327 as entities 327a and 327b respectively. The nesting of entities within other entities can continue to an arbitrary level of complexity provided that all entities instantiated, whether singly or multiply, have unique entity names and the instantiation names at any given level of the hierarchy are unique with respect to one another. Each entity is constructed from one or more HDL files that contain the information necessary to describe the entity.

Associated with each entity instantiation is a so called "instantiation identifier". The instantiation identifier for a given instantiation is a string consisting of the enclosing entity instantiation names proceeding from the top-level entity instantiation name. For example, the instantiation identifier of instantiation **327a** of entity **C 327** within instantiation **321a** of FXU entity **321** is "TOP.FXU0.B.C". This identifier serves to uniquely identify each instantiation within a simulation model.

Referring now to FIG. 3C, there is depicted a flow diagram of a model build process in which a preferred embodiment of the present invention may be implemented. The process begins with one or more design entity HDL source code files 340 and, potentially, one or more design entity intermediate format files 345, hereinafter referred to as "proto files" 345, available from a previous run of an HDL compiler 342. HDL compiler 342 processes HDL file(s) 340 beginning with the top level entity of a simulation model and proceeding in a

recursive fashion through all HDL or proto file(s) describing a complete simulation model. For each of HDL files 340 during the compilation process, HDL compiler 342, examines proto files 345 to determine if a previously compiled proto file is available and consistent. If such a file is available and consistent, HDL compiler 342 will not recompile that particular file, but will rather refer to an extant proto file. If no such proto file is available or the proto file is not consistent, HDL compiler 342 explicitly recompiles the HDL file 340 in question and creates a proto file 344, for use in subsequent compilations. Such a process will be referred to hereinafter as "incremental compilation" and can greatly speed the process of creating a simulation executable model 348. Incremental compilation is described in further detail hereinbelow. Once created by HDL compiler 342, Proto files 344 are available to serve as proto files 345 in subsequent compilations.

In addition to proto files 344, HDL compiler 342 also creates two sets of data structures, design entity proto data structures 341 and design entity instance data structures 343, in memory 44 of computer system 10. Design entity proto data structures 341 and design entity instance data structures 343, serve as a memory image of the contents of a simulation executable model 348. Data structures 341 and 343 are passed, via memory 44, to a model build tool 346 that processes data structures 341 and 343 into simulation executable model 348.

30

It will be assumed hereinafter that each entity is

described by a single HDL file. Depending on convention or the particular HDL in which the current invention is practiced, this restriction may be required. However, in certain circumstances or for certain HDLs it is possible to describe an entity by utilizing more than one HDL file. Those skilled in the art will appreciate and understand the extensions necessary to practice the present invention if entities are permitted to be described by multiple HDL files. Furthermore, it will be assumed that there is a direct correspondence, for each entity, between the entity name and both of the following: the name of the HDL file representing the entity, and the name of the proto file for the entity.

In the following description, an HDL source code file corresponding to a given entity will be referred to by an entity name followed by ".vhdl". For example, the HDL source code file that describes top-level entity 320 will be referred to as TOP.vhdl. This labeling convention serves as a notational convenience only and should not be construed as limiting the applicability of the present invention to HDLs other than VHDL.

Returning to FIG. 3B, it can be seen that each entity may instantiate, either directly or indirectly, one or more other entities. For example, the FXU entity directly instantiates A entity 325 and B entity 326. Furthermore, B entity 326 directly instantiates C entity 327. Therefore, FXU entity 321 instantiates, directly or indirectly, A entity 325, B entity 326 and C entity 327. Those entities, that are directly or indirectly

instantiated by another entity, will be referred to hereinafter as "descendants". The descendants of top level entity **320** are FXU entity **321**, FPU entity **322**, A entity **325**, B entity **326**, and C entity **327**. It can be seen that each entity has a unique set of descendants and that each time an entity is instantiated, a unique instance of the entity and its descendants is created. Within simulation model **329**, FXU entity **321** is instantiated twice, FXU:FXU0 **321a** and FXU:FXU1 **321b**, by top-level entity **320**. Each instantiation of FXU entity **321** creates a unique set of instances of the FXU, A, B, and C entities.

For each entity, it is possible to define what is referred to as a "bill-of-materials" or BOM. A BOM is a list of HDL files having date and time stamps of the entity itself and the entity's descendants. Referring again to **FIG. 3C**, the BOM for an entity is stored in proto file **344** after compilation of the entity. Therefore, when HDL compiler **342** compiles a particular HDL source code file among HDL files **340**, a proto file **344** is generated that includes a BOM listing the HDL files **340** that constitute the entity and the entity's descendants, if any. The BOM also contains the date and time stamp for each of the HDL files referenced as each appeared on disk/tape **33** of computer system **10** when the HDL file was being compiled.

If any of the HDL files constituting an entity or the entity's descendants is subsequently changed, proto file **344** will be flagged as inconsistent and HDL compiler

342 will recompile HDL file 340 on a subsequent re-compilation as will be described in further detail below. For example, going back to FIG. 3B, the HDL files referenced by the BOM of FXU entity 321 are FXU.vhdl, A.vhdl, B.vhdl and C.vhdl, each with appropriate date and time stamps. The files referenced by the BOM of top-level entity 320 are TOP.vhdl, FXU.vhdl, A.vhdl, B.vhdl, C.vhdl, and FPU.vhdl with appropriate date and time stamps.

Returning to FIG. 3C, HDL compiler 342 creates an image of the structure of a simulation model in main memory 44 of computer system 10. This memory image is comprised of the following components: "proto" data structures 341 and "instance" data structures 343. A proto is a data structure that, for each entity in the model, contains information about the ports of the entity, the body contents of the entity, and a list of references to other entities directly instantiated by the entity (in what follows, the term "proto" will be utilized to refer to the in-memory data structure described above and the term "proto file" will be utilized to describe intermediate format file(s) 344). Proto files 344 are therefore on-disk representations of the in-memory proto data structure produced by HDL compiler 342.

An instance data structure is a data structure that, for each instance of an entity within a model, contains the instance name for the instance, the name of the entity the instance refers to, and the port map

information necessary to interconnect the entity with external signals. During compilation, each entity will have only one proto data structure, while, in the case of multiple instantiations of an entity, each entity may have one or more instance data structures.

In order to incrementally compile a model efficiently, HDL compiler 342 follows a recursive method of compilation in which successive entities of the model are considered and loaded from proto files 345 if such files are available and are consistent with the HDL source files constituting those entities and their descendants. For each entity that cannot be loaded from existing proto files 345, HDL compiler 342 recursively examines the descendants of the entity, loads those descendant entities available from proto file(s) 345 and creates, as needed, proto files 344 for those descendants that are inconsistent with proto files 345. Psuedocode for the main control loop of HDL compiler 342 is shown below (the line numbers to the right of the psuedocode are not a part of the psuedocode, but merely serve as a notational convenience).

```
process_HDL_file(file)      5
{
  if (NOT proto_loaded(file)) { 10
    if (exists_proto_file(file) AND
        check_bom(file)) {
      load_proto(file); 15
    } else {
      parse_HDL_file(file) 20
      for (all instances in file){ 25
        process_HDL_file(instance);
      }
      if (design_flag = TRUE) { 30
        for(al instrumentation instances
             in file) { 35
          40
          45
          50
          55
          60
        }
      }
    }
  }
}
```

```
      process_HDL_file2(instance,
      FALSE); 65

      }
  5   }
  create_proto(file);
  write_proto_file(file);
  }
  10  if (design_flag=TRUE){
      create_instance(file):
  }
  15  }
  When compiler 342 is initially invoked, no proto
```

data structures 341 or instance data structures 343 are present in memory 44 of computer system 10. The main control loop, routine process\_HDL\_file() (line 5), is invoked and passed the name of the top level entity by means of parameter "file". The algorithm first determines if a proto data structure for the current entity is present in memory 44 by means of routine proto\_loaded() (line 15). Note that the proto data structure for the top level entity will never be present in memory because the process starts without any proto data structures loaded into memory 44. If a matching proto data structure is present in memory 44, instance data structures for the current entity and the current entity's descendants, if any, are created as necessary in memory 44 by routine create\_instance() (line 75).

30 However, if a matching proto data structure is not present in memory 44, control passes to line 20 where routine exists\_proto\_file() examines proto files 345 to determine if a proto file exists for the entity. If and only if a matching proto file exists, routine check\_bom() is called to determine whether proto file 345 is

20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

consistent. In order to determine whether the proto file is consistent, the BOM for the proto file is examined. Routine `check_bom()` examines each HDL source code file listed in the BOM to determine if the date or time stamps for the HDL source code file have changed or if the HDL source code file has been deleted. If either condition occurs for any file in the BOM, the proto file is inconsistent and routine `check_bom()` fails. However, if `check_bom()` is successful, control is passed to line 25 where routine `load_proto()` loads the proto file and any descendant proto files into memory **44**, thus creating proto data structures **341** for the current entity and the current entity's descendants, if any. The construction of `process_HDL_file()` ensures that once a proto file has been verified as consistent, all of its descendant proto files, if any, are also consistent.

If the proto file is either non-existent or is not consistent, control passes to line 35 where routine `parse_HDL_file()` loads the HDL source code file for the current entity. Routine `parse_HDL_file()` (line 35) examines the HDL source code file for syntactic correctness and determines which descendant entities, if any, are instantiated by the current entity. Lines 40, 45, and 50 constitute a loop in which the routine `process_HDL_file()` is recursively called to process the descendant entities that are called by the current entity. This process repeats recursively traversing all the descendants of the current entity in a depth-first fashion creating proto data structures **341** and proto data files **344** of all descendants of the current entity. Once the descendant entities are processed, control passes to

line 55 where a new proto data structure is created for the current entity in memory **44** by routine `create_proto()`. Control then passes to line 60 where a new proto file **344**, including an associated BOM, is written to disk **33** by routine `write_proto_file()`.

Finally, control passes to line 75 where routine `create_instance()` creates instance data structures **343** for the current entity and any descendant entities as necessary. In this manner, `process_HDL_file()` (line 5) recursively processes the entire simulation model creating an in-memory image of the model consisting of proto data structures **341** and instance data structures **343**.

With reference now to **FIG. 3D** there is depicted a block diagram representing compiled data structures in which a preferred embodiment of the present invention may be implemented. Memory **44** contains proto data structures **361**, one for each of the entities referred to in simulation model **329**. In addition, instantiations in simulation model **329** are represented by instance data structures **362**. Instance data structures **362** are connected by means of pointers indicating the hierarchical nature of the instantiations of the entities within simulation model **329**. Model build tool **346** in **FIG. 3C** processes the contents of memory **44** into memory data structures in order to produce simulation executable model **348**.

In order to instrument simulation models, the present invention makes use of entities known as

5 "instrumentation entities," which are in contrast to the entities constituting a design which are referred to herein as "design entities". As with design entities, instrumentation entities are described by one or more HDL source code files and consist of a number of signal ports, a body section, and an entity name. In what follows, it will be assumed that an instrumentation entity is described by a single HDL file. Those skilled in the art will appreciate and understand extensions necessary to practice the current invention for an instrumentation entity that is described by multiple HDL files. Each instrumentation entity is associated with a specific design entity referred to hereinafter as the 10 "target entity".

15

20

25

30

With reference now to **FIG. 4A**, there is illustrated a block diagram representation of an instrumentation entity **409**. Instrumentation entity **409** includes a number of input ports **400** that are connected to signals **401** within a target entity (not depicted in **FIG. 4A**). A body section **402** contains logic necessary to detect occurrences of specified conditions within the target entity and generate simulation model "events" with respect to signals **401**. A preferred embodiment of the present invention provides for generating three distinct types of events: "count" events, "fail" events, and "harvest" events, each described below in turn. Body section **402** contains internal logic for detecting occurrences of conditions precipitating generation of these events. A set of multi-bit output ports **403**, **404**, and **405** are connected to external instrumentation logic

(depicted in **FIG. 4B**) by means of external signals **406**, **407**, and **408**. Output ports **403**, **404**, and **405** thus provide the connection from the internal logic in body section **402** to the external instrumentation logic which is utilized to indicate the occurrence of count, failure and harvest events.

A failure event is a sequence of signal values that indicate a failure in the correct operation of the simulation model. Each instrumentation entity monitors the target entity for any desired number of failure events. Each occurrence of a failure event is assigned to a particular signal bit on output port **403**. Logic within body section **402** produces an active high pulse on a specified bit of signal **403** when a failure condition is detected. Such activation of signal **403** is defined as a failure event. This error indication is conveyed by means of external signal **406** to external instrumentation logic (depicted in **FIG. 4B** as external instrumentation logic block **420**), which flags the occurrence of the failure event.

A count event is a sequence of signal values that indicate the occurrence of an event within a simulation model for which it would be advantageous to maintain a count. Count events are utilized to monitor the frequency of occurrence of specific sequences within a simulation model. Each instrumentation entity can monitor the target entity for any desired number of count events. Each count event is assigned to a particular signal bit on output port **405**. Logic block **402** contains the logic necessary to detect the occurrence of the

desired count events, and in a preferred embodiment of the present invention, produces an active high pulse on the specified bit of signal **405** when a count event is detected. This count indication is conveyed by means of external signal **408** to instrumentation logic, which

contains counters utilized to record the number of occurrences of each count event.

The third event type, a harvest event, is a sequence of signal values that indicate the occurrence of a specific operative circumstance, which would be advantageous to be able to reproduce. When a harvest event occurs, a register within an external instrumentation logic block is loaded to indicate at what point within a simulation run the event occurred, and a flag is set to indicate the occurrence of the specific circumstance. The details of the simulation run can thus be saved in order to recreate the specific circumstance monitored by the harvest event. Logic block **402** contains the logic necessary to detect the harvest events.

Each instrumentation entity can detect any desired number of harvest events that are each assigned to a particular signal bit on output port **404**. Logic within block **402** produces an active high pulse on the specified bit of signal **404** when a harvest event is detected. This harvest event detection is conveyed by means of external signal **407** to external instrumentation logic that contains a register and flag for each harvest event. The register is utilized to record at which point in the simulation run the harvest event occurred, and the flag

is utilized to indicate the occurrence.

With reference now to FIG. 4B, wherein is depicted a block diagram representation of simulation model 329 instrumented in accordance with the teachings of the present invention. As can be seen in FIG. 4B, an instance 410 and an instance 411 of an instrumentation entity FXUCHK are utilized to monitor instances 321a and 321b of an FXU entity. For each FXU instantiations of 321a and 321b, an FXUCHK instantiation, 410 and 411 respectively, is automatically generated by the mechanism of the present invention. In a similar fashion, instrumentation entity FPUCCHK 412 is instantiated to monitor FPU entity 322.

As depicted in FIG. 4B, entity FXUCHK monitors a signals Q 372, a signal R 376, and a signal S 374 within each of instances 321a and 321b of the FXU entity. Signal Q 372, is a signal within the instances 325a and 325b of descendant entity A. Likewise, signal S 374 is a signal within descendant entity C that resides within descendant entity B. Finally, signal R 376 occurs directly within FXU entity 321. Although an instrumentation entity may monitor any signal within a target entity or the target entity's descendent entities, signals outside the target entity cannot be monitored.

Each instrumentation entity is connected by means of fail, count, and harvest signals to instrumentation logic block 420 containing logic for recording occurrences of each of the three event types. For the count events

monitored in simulation model 329, a set of counters 421 is utilized to count the number of occurrences of each count event. In a similar manner, a set of flags 424 is utilized to record the occurrence of failure events.

5 Finally, a set of counters 422 and flags 423 are combined and utilized to record the point at which a harvest event occurs and its occurrence, respectively. In one embodiment of the present invention, a cycle number is captured and stored utilizing counters 422 and flags 423 to record a harvest event.

10

To facilitate instantiation and connection of instrumentation entities, instrumentation entity HDL source code files include a specialized comment section, hereinafter referred to as "instrumentation entity description", that indicates the target entity, the signals within the target entity to be monitored, and information specifying types of events to be monitored.

With reference now to FIG. 4C, there is illustrated an exemplary HDL file 440 that describes instrumentation entity FXUCHK depicted in FIG. 4B. HDL file 440 utilizes the syntax of the VHDL hardware description language. In the VHDL language, lines beginning with two dashes, "--", are recognized by a compiler as being comments. The method and system of the present invention utilize comments of a non-conventional form to indicate information about an instrumentation entity. FIG. 4C depicts one embodiment of the present invention in which comments begin with two exclamation points in order to distinguish these comments from conventional comments in instrumentation HDL file 440. It will be appreciated by

20

25

30

those skilled in the art that the exemplary syntax utilized in **FIG. 4C** for the provision of unconventional comments is but one of many possible formats.

5 Within HDL file **440**, the I/O ports of a FXUCHK entity are declared in entity declaration **450**. Within entity declaration **450**, three input ports, **S\_IN**, **Q\_IN**, and **R\_IN**, respectively, are declared. Input ports, **S\_IN**, **Q\_IN**, and **R\_IN**, will be attached to signal **S**, **374**, signal **Q**, **372**, and signal **R**, **376** respectively as described below. Input port, **CLOCK**, is also declared and will be connected to a signal, **CLOCK**, within the FXU entity. In addition, three output ports: **fails** (0 to 1), **counts**(0 to 2), and **harvests**(0 to 1), are declared. These output ports provide failure, count, and harvest signals for two failure events, three count events, and two harvest events. In a preferred embodiment of the present invention, the names of the output ports are fixed by convention in order to provide an efficient means for automatically connecting these signals to instrumentation logic block **420**.

25 A set of instrumentation entity descriptors **451** are utilized to provide information about the instrumentation entity. As illustrated in **FIG. 4C**, descriptor comments **451** may be categorized in a number of distinct sections: prologue and entity name declaration **452**, an input port map **453**, a set of failure message declarations **454**, a set of counter declarations **455**, a set of harvest declarations **456**, and an epilogue **457**.

30 The prologue and entity name **452** serve to indicate

the name of the particular target entity that the instrumentation entity will monitor. Prologue and entity name declaration **452** also serves as an indication that the instrumentation entity description has begun.

5 Specifically, the comment "--!! Begin" within prologue and entity name **452**, indicates that the description of an instrumentation entity has begun. The comment "--!! Design Entity: FXU" identifies the target entity which, in HDL file **440**, is design entity FXU. In a preferred embodiment of the present invention, this declaration serves to bind the instrumentation entity to the target entity.

10 15 20 25 Input port map **453** serves as a connection between the input ports of an instrumentation entity and the signals to be monitored within the target entity. The comments begin with comment "--!! Inputs" and end with comment "--!! End Inputs". Between these comments, comments of the form "--!! inst\_ent\_port\_name => trgt\_ent\_signal\_name" are utilized, one for each input port of the instrumentation entity, to indicate connections between the instrumentation entity ports and the target entity signals. The inst\_ent\_port\_name is the name of the instrumentation entity port to be connected to the target entity signal. The trgt\_ent\_signal\_name is the name of the signal within the target entity that will be connected to the instrumentation entity port.

30 In some cases a signal to be monitored lies within a descendant of a target entity. This is the case for signal **S 374**, which is embedded within entity **C** which is a descendant of entity **B 326** and target FXU entity **321**.

5 Input port map 453 includes an identification string for signal S 374 which consists of the instance names of the entities within the target entity each separated by periods ("."). This identification string is pre-pended to the signal name. The signal mapping comment within input port map 453 for signal S 374 is therefore as follows:

```
--!! S_IN => B.C.S
```

10 This syntax allows an instrumentation entity to connect to any signal within the target entity or the target entity's descendant entities. A signal appearing on the top level of the target design entity, has no prepended entity names; and therefore, has the following signal mapping comment:

```
--!! R_IN => R
```

20 For signals on the top level of the target entity, a special connection method is provided. If the signal to be connected to has the same name as its corresponding signal in the port map of the instrumentation entity, no input port mapping comment is required and the signal will be automatically connected if no such comment is present. In other words, if the input port mapping comment is of the form:

```
--!! signal => signal
```

25 where signal is a legal signal name without periods ("."), then the input port mapping comment is not required and the system of the present invention will automatically make the connect. It is also possible to provide comments of the form given above to explicitly denote the signal connection. This mechanism is only

operative for signals on the top level of the target entity.

Failure message declarations **454** begin with a comment of the form “--!! Fail Outputs;”, and end with a comment of the form “--!! End Fail Outputs;”. Each failure event output is associated with a unique event name and a failure message. This message may be output by the simulation run-time environment upon detecting a failure event. The unique failure event name is utilized to identify the specific failure event within the model. Each failure event signal may be declared by a comment of the form “--!! n: <eventname> “failure message”;” where n is an integer denoting the failure event to which the message is associated, <eventname> is the unique failure event name, and “failure message” is the message associated with the particular failure event. One, and only one failure message declaration comment must be provided for each failure event monitored by the instrumentation entity.

Counter declaration comments **455** begin with a comment of the form “--!! Count Outputs;”, and end with a comment of the form “--!! End Count Outputs;”. Each count event output is associated with a unique variable name. This name is associated with a counter in counter logic **421 FIG. 4B**. The variable name provides a means to identify and reference the particular counter associated with a particular count event. Thus, a comment of the form “--!! n : <varname> qualifying\_signal [+/-];” is associated with each counter event output. Within this convention, n is an integer denoting which counter event

0  
1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20

in the instrumentation module is to be associated with a variable name "varname," and qualifying\_signal is the name of a signal within a target design entity utilized to determine when to sample the count event pulse as will be further described hereinbelow. The parameter "qualifying\_signal" is followed by "+/-" to specify whether the qualifying signal will be a high active qualifying signal or a low active qualifying signal.

5

10

DRAFT - FEB 2000

Harvest declarations 456 begin with a prologue comment of the form "--!! Harvest Outputs;" and end with a comment of the form "--!! End Harvest Outputs;". Each harvest event output is associated with a unique event name and a message that may be output by the simulation runtime environment when a harvest event has occurred during a simulation run. Each harvest event signal is declared in the form "--!! n: <eventname> "harvest message";" where n is an integer denoting which harvest event the message is to be associated with, <eventname> is the unique harvest event name and "harvest message" is the message to be associated with the particular harvest event. One, and only one, harvest message declaration comment must be provided for each harvest event monitored by the instrumentation entity.

25

30

Harvest messages and event names, fail messages and event names, and counter variable names for a simulation model are included in a simulation executable model and lists of all the events within the model are produced in separate files at model build time. In this manner, each simulation model includes the information for each event monitored and a separate file containing this information

for each event is available. Furthermore, as will be described below, the model build process names each event within the model (count, fail and harvest) model in such a manner as to insure that each event has a unique name with certain useful properties.

5

Finally, epilogue comment **457** consists of a single comment of the form "--!! End;", indicating the end of descriptor comments **451**. The remainder of instrumentation entity HDL file **440** that follows the I/O declarations described above, is an entity body section **458**. In entity body section **458**, conventional HDL syntax is utilized to define internal instrumentation logic necessary to detect the various events on the input port signals and convey these events to the output port signals.

10

092000226US1

15

20

30

In addition to descriptor comments **451**, that are located in the HDL source code file for an instrumentation entity, an additional comment line is required in the target entity HDL file. A comment of the form "--!! Instrumentation: name.vhdl", where name.vhdl is the name of the instrumentation entity HDL file, is added to the target entity HDL source code file. This comment provides a linkage between the instrumentation entity and its target entity. It is possible to have more than one such comment in a target entity when more than one instrumentation entity is associated with the target entity. These HDL file comments will hereinafter be referred to as "instrumentation entity instantiations".

With reference now to **FIG. 4D**, there is depicted a model build process in accordance with the teachings of the present invention. In this model build process, instrumentation load tool **464** is utilized to alter the in-memory proto and instance data structures of a simulation model thereby adding instrumentation entities to the simulation model. Instrumentation load tool **464** utilizes descriptor comments **451** within instrumentation HDL files **461** to create instance data structures for the instrumentation entities within a simulation model.

The model build process of **FIG. 4D** begins with design entity HDL files **340** and, potentially, one or more design entity proto files **345** (available from a previous run of HDL compiler **462**), instrumentation entity HDL files **460**, and potentially, one or more instrumentation entity proto files **461** (available from a previous run of HDL compiler **462**). HDL compiler **462**, processes design entity HDL files **340**, and instrumentation entity HDL files **460** following an augmentation of algorithm `process_HDL_file()` that provides for efficient incremental compilation of the design and instrumentation entities comprising a simulation model. HDL compiler **462** loads proto data structures from design entity proto files **345** and instrumentation entity protos files **460**, if such proto files are available and consistent. If such proto files are not available or are not consistent, HDL compiler **462** compiles design entity HDL files **340** and instrumentation entity HDL files **460** in order to produce design entity proto files **344** and instrumentation entity proto files **468**. (design entity proto files **344** and

instrumentation entity proto files **468** are available to serve as design entity proto files **345** and instrumentation entity proto files **460** respectively for a subsequent run of HDL compiler **462**).

5

In addition, HDL compiler **462** creates in-memory design proto data structures **463** and design instance data structures **465** for the design entities of a simulation model. HDL compiler **462** also creates in-memory instrumentation proto data structures **466** for the instrumentation entities of a simulation model.

10

20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30

In order to minimize processing overhead HDL compiler **462** neither reads nor processes descriptor comments **451**. However, HDL compiler **462** does recognize instrumentation entity instantiation comments within target entity HDL files. As such, HDL compiler **462** cannot create instance data structures instrumentation entity data structures **467**. The creation of instance data structures requires interconnection information contained within descriptor comments **451** not processed by HDL compiler **462**. HDL compiler **462** does, however, create instrumentation proto data structures **466**.

25 The in-memory design proto data structures **463**, design instance data structures **465**, and instrumentation entity proto data structures **466**, are processed by instrumentation load tool **464**. Instrumentation load tool **464** examines design entity proto data structures **463** and design entity instance data structures **465** to determine those design entities that are target entities. In a

preferred embodiment of the present invention, this examination is accomplished by utilizing a particular comment format as previously described.

5 All target entities that are loaded from design entity proto files 345 contain an instantiation for any associated instrumentation entity. Therefore, instrumentation load tool 464 merely creates an instance data structure 467 for any such instrumentation entity and passes, the unaltered design proto data structure 463 to instrumented design proto data structure 463a, and passes design instance data structure 465 to instrumented design instance data structure 465a.

10 20 25 If however, a target entity is loaded from design entity HDL files 340, rather than from design entity proto files 345, instrumentation load tool 464 must alter its design proto data structure 463 and its design instance data structure 465 to instantiate an associated instrumentation entity. An instrumented design proto data structure 463a and instrumented design instance data structure 465a are thereby produced. In addition, instrumentation load tool 464 creates an instrumentation instance data structure 467 for each instrumentation entity associated with the current design entity.

30 The design entity proto data structures 463 that are altered by instrumentation load tool 464 are saved to disk 33 of computer system 10 as design entity proto files 344. Design entity proto files 344, which may include references to instrumentation entities, are

5 directly loaded by a subsequent compilation of a simulation model, thus saving processing by instrumentation load tool **464** on subsequent recompilations unless an alteration is made to a design entity or an associated instrumentation entity.

10 In order for HDL compiler **462** to determine if alterations were made to either a target design entity or the target design entity's associated instrumentation entities, the BOM of a target design entity is expanded to include the HDL files constituting the instrumentation entities. In this manner, HDL compiler **462** can determine, by inspection of the BOM for a given design entity, whether to recompile the design entity and the design entity's associated instrumentation entities or load these structures from proto files **345** and **461**.

20 Finally, instrumentation load tool **464** creates a unique proto and instance data structure for instrumentation logic block **420** and connects the fail, harvest, and count event signals from each instrumentation entity instantiation to instrumentation logic block **420**. Model build tool **446** processes in-  
25 memory proto and instance data structures **463a**, **465a**, **467**, **466** to produce instrumented simulation executable model **480**

30 In HDL compiler **462**, algorithm `process_HDL_file()` is augmented to allow for the incremental compilation of design and instrumentation entities. A pseudocode

implementation of a main control loop of HDL compiler **462** is shown below:

```

process_HDL_file2(file, design_flag)      5
{
    if (NOT proto_loaded(file)) {          10
        if (exists_proto_file(file) AND
            check_bom(file)) {
            load_proto(file);               15
        } else {
            parse_HDL_file(file)          20
            for (all instances in file) {
                process_HDL_file2(instance, 25
                design_flag);             30
            }
            if (design_flag = TRUE) {      35
                for (all instrumentation
                    instances in file) {
                    process_HDL_file2(instance, 40
                    FALSE);                  45
                }
                create_proto(file);        50
                write_proto_file(file);    55
            }
        }
    }
    if (design_flag = TRUE) {                60
        create_instance(file);             65
    }
}

```

Algorithm `process_HDL_file2()` is an augmentation to `process_HDL_file()` of HDL compiler **342** in order to support the creation of instrumented simulation models. The algorithm is invoked with the name of the top level design entity passed through parameter file and a flag indicating whether the entity being processed is a design entity or an instrumentation entity passed through parameter `design_flag` (`design_flag = TRUE` for design entities and `FALSE` for instrumentation entities). Algorithm `process_HDL_file2()` (line 5) first checks, by means of routine `proto_loaded()` (line 15), if the proto

for the current entity is already present in memory **44**. If so, processing passes to line 105. Otherwise, control is passed to line 20 and **25** where disk **33** of computer system **10** is examined to determine if proto files for the entity and its descendants (including instrumentation entities, if any) exist and are consistent. If so, the appropriate proto files are loaded from disk **10** by routine `load_proto()` (line 25) creating proto data structures, as necessary, in memory **44** for the current entity and the current entity's descendants including instrumentation entities.

If the proto file is unavailable or inconsistent, control passes to line 35 where the current entity HDL file is parsed. For any entities instantiated within the current entity, lines **40** to **55** recursively call `process_HDL_file2()` (line 5) in order to process these descendants of the current entity. Control then passes to line 55 where the `design_flag` parameter is examined to determine if the current entity being processed is a design entity or an instrumentation entity. If the current entity is an instrumentation entity, control passes to line 80. Otherwise, the current entity is a design entity and lines **60** to **70** recursively call `process_HDL_file2()` (line 5) to process any instrumentation entities instantiated by means of instrumentation instantiation comments. It should be noted that algorithm `process_HDL_file2()` (line 5) does not allow for instrumentation entities to monitor instrumentation entities. Any instrumentation entity instantiation comments within an instrumentation entity are ignored. Control then passes to line 80 where proto

5 data structures are created in memory **44** as needed for the current entity and any instrumentation entities. Control then passes to line 90 where the newly created proto data structures are written, as needed to disk **33** of computer system **10**.

10 Control finally passes to line **105** and **110** where, if the current entity is a design entity, instance data structures are created as needed for the current entity and the current entity's descendants. If the current entity is an instrumentation entity, routine `create_instance()` (line **110**) is not called. Instrumentation load tool **464** is utilized to create the in-memory instance data structures for instrumentation entities.

20 It will be apparent to those skilled in the art that HDL compiler **462** provides for an efficient incremental compilation of design and instrumentation entities. It should also be noted that the above description is but one of many possible means for accomplishing an incremental compilation of instrumentation entities. In particular, although many other options also exist, much, if not all, of the functionality of instrumentation load tool **464** can be merged into HDL compiler **462**.

25 With reference now to **FIG. 4E** wherein is shown a depiction of memory **44** at the completion of compilation of simulation model **329** with instrumentation entities FXUCHK and FPUCHK. Memory **44** contains proto data

5       structures **481**, one for each of the design and instrumentation entities referred to in simulation model **329**. In addition, design and instrumentation instances in simulation model **329** are represented by instance data structures **482**. The instance data structures are connected by means of pointers indicating the hierarchical nature of the instantiations of the design and instrumentation entities within simulation model **329**.

10       With reference now to **FIG. 5A**, wherein is depicted failure flags **424** of instrumentation logic block **420** in greater detail. Failure flags **424** consist of registers **500a-500n** utilized to accept and store an indication of the occurrence of a failure event. In what follows, the operation of a single failure flag for a particular failure event **502** will be discussed. The operation of all failure flags is similar.

15       Register **500a** holds a value that represents whether a failure event **502** has occurred or not. Register **500a** is initially set to a value of '0' by the simulation run-time environment at the beginning of a simulation run. When failure event **502**, if enabled at register **507a**, occurs, register **500a** is set to a value of a logical '1', thereby indicating the occurrence of a failure event. Register **500a** is driven by logical OR gate **501**. Logical OR gate **501** performs a logical OR of the output of register **500a** and a qualified failure signal **503** to create the next cycle value for register **500a**. In this manner, once register **500a** is set to a logical '1' by the occurrence of an enabled failure event, register **500a** maintains the value of a logical '1' until reset by the

20       0  
1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20

25

30

simulation runtime environment. Likewise, register **500a** maintains a value of '0' from the beginning of the simulation run until the occurrence of the failure event, if enabled.

5

Qualified failure signal **503** is driven by logical AND gate **505**. Logical AND gate **505** produces, on qualified failure signal **503**, the logical AND of failure signal **506** and the logical NOT of register **507a**.

10

Register **507a** serves as an enabling control for qualified failure signal **503**. If register **507a** contains a value of '0', logical AND gate **505** will pass failure event signal **506** unaltered to qualified failure signal **503**. In this manner, the monitoring of the failure event is enabled. Registers **507a-507n** are set, by default, to a value of '0'. However, if register **507a** contains a value of a logical '1', qualified failure signal **503** will remain at a value of '0' irrespective of the value of failure event signal **506**, thereby disabling the monitoring of failure event **502**. In this manner, register **508**, consisting of registers **507a-507n**, can mask the occurrence of any subset of failure events in the overall simulation model from registers **500a-500n**.

25

To efficiently implement the ability to selectively disable the monitoring of failure events, the simulation run-time environment includes a function that allows a user to disable monitoring of a specific failure event for a given instrumentation entity. This function will automatically set the appropriate registers among registers **507a-507n** within register **508** to disable the

30

00000000000000000000000000000000

monitoring of a particular failure event for every instance of the instrumentation entity within the overall simulation model. Instrumentation load tool 464 and model build tool 446 encode sufficient information within instrumented simulation executable model 480 to determine which failure bits within register 508 correspond to which instrumentation entities.

The ability to selectively disable monitoring of failure events is of particular use in large batch-simulation environments. Typically, in such an environment, a large number of general purpose computers, running software or hardware simulators, are dedicated to automatically running a large number of simulation runs. If a simulation model with a faulty instrumentation entity that incorrectly indicates failure events is run in such an environment, a large number of erroneous failures will be generated causing lost time. By selectively disabling failure events within instrumentation entities, the present invention allows simulation to continue while only disabling erroneous failure signals rather than having to disable all failure monitoring. This option is particularly useful when the process of correcting a faulty instrumentation entity and creating a new simulation model is substantially time consuming. The present invention also provides similar enabling and disabling structures for the harvest and count events within a model.

Logical OR gate 512 is utilized to produce a signal, 511, that indicates whether any failure event within the model has occurred. This signal is utilized to allow

hardware simulators to efficiently simulate simulation models that have been instrumented according to the teachings of the present invention.

With reference now to **FIG. 5B** there is illustrated in greater detail, features of the present invention utilized to support efficient execution of an instrumented simulation model on a hardware simulator. It should be noted that for most hardware simulators, the operation of polling a facility within a simulation model during a simulation run is often a time consuming operation. In fact, if facilities must be polled every cycle, it is often the case that as much, if not considerably more, time is spent polling a simulation model for results rather than running the actual simulation. As such, it is advantageous when using a hardware simulator to avoid polling facilities within the model during a simulation run. In addition, many hardware simulators provide a facility that instructs the hardware simulator to run a simulation without interruption until a specific signal within the simulation model attains a specific value. This facility usually results in the highest performance for a simulation run on a hardware simulator.

In order to execute simulation model **520** on a hardware simulator, a termination signal **513**, is typically utilized as a means to avoid having to poll the model after each cycle. Typically, a hardware simulator will cycle simulation model **520** until signal **513** is asserted to a logical '1'. The assertion of termination signal **513** to a logical '1' indicates that a simulation

run has finished. Without termination signal **513**, it would be necessary to directly poll facilities within simulation model **520** to determine when a simulation run is completed.

5

To efficiently locate and diagnose problems in simulation model **520**, it is advantageous to allow a simulation run to be stopped immediately whenever a failure event occurs during simulation of simulation model **520** (harvest events and count events are typically only polled at the end of a simulation run). This allows a user to easily locate the failure event within the simulation run, thereby facilitating debugging of the failure. In order to allow simulation models that have been instrumented according to the teachings of the present invention to efficiently execute on a hardware simulator, a comment of the form "--!! Model Done: signalname" is placed within the HDL source code file for the top level entity of the simulation model where signalname is the name of termination signal **513** within the simulation model. This comment is only utilized if present in the HDL file for the top-level entity. If such a comment is present in the HDL source code file for the top level entity, a logical OR gate **515** will automatically be included within the simulation model. Logical OR gate **515** produces the logical OR of signals **511** and **513** on signal **516**. Signal **516** is therefore asserted to a logical '1' whenever the simulation run has completed (signal **513** high) or a failure event has occurred (signal **511** high). Consequently, by executing simulation model **520** in a hardware simulator until signal

10

00000000000000000000000000000000

25

30

5           **516** is asserted to a value of a logical '1', the instrumentation for simulation model **520** can be combined and utilized along with existing simulation termination techniques in a seamless manner. In the alternative, if the comment indicating the name of termination signal **513** is not present, logical OR gate **515** is not included in the model and signal **511** is directly connected to signal **516**. The name of signal **516** is fixed to a particular name by convention.

10

20           In many simulators, the passage of time within the simulated model is modeled on a cycle-to-cycle basis. That is to say, time is considered to pass in units known as cycles. A cycle is delineated by the occurrence of a clock signal within a simulation model that regulates the updating of storage elements within the design. These simulators are commonly known as "cycle simulators". A cycle simulator models a digital design by repeatedly propagating the values contained within storage elements through interconnecting logic that lies between storage elements without specific regard for the physical timing of this propagation, to produce next cycle values within the storage elements. In such simulators, a primitive storage element, hereinafter referred to as a "simulator latch", is utilized to model the storage elements within a digital design. One simulator cycle therefore consists of propagating the current values of the simulator latches through the interconnecting logic between storage elements and updating the simulator latches with the next cycle value.

25

30

5           In many circumstances, however, it is not possible to utilize a single simulator latch to directly model the storage elements within a design. Many common storage elements utilized within digital designs often require more than one simulator latch. For example, so called master-slave flip-flops are generally modeled utilizing two simulator latches to accurately simulate the behavior of such storage elements. In order to efficiently model storage elements, a designer will typically refer to a library that contains storage element simulation models for use in a design. These design storage elements are modeled by one or more simulator latches. Storage elements comprised of one or more simulator latches that are implemented within a design will be referred to hereinbelow as "design latches".

10

20

25           As a consequence of utilizing multiple simulator latches to model a design latch, the process of propagating the input of a design latch to its output, which constitutes a design cycle, often requires more than one simulator cycle. A single design cycle is thus defined as comprising the number of simulator cycles required to propagate a set of values from one set of storage elements to the next.

25

30           In other circumstances, a simulation model may consist of distinct portions that are clocked at differing frequencies. For example, a microprocessor core connected to a bus interface unit, may operate at a higher frequency and than the bus interface unit. Under

these circumstances, the higher frequency portion of the design will require one or more simulator cycles, say  $N$  cycles, to simulate a single design cycle. The lower frequency portion of the design will require a multiple of  $N$  simulator cycles in order to simulate a design cycle for the lower frequency portion. This multiple is equal to the ratio of the frequency of the higher speed design portion to the frequency of the lower speed design portion. It is often the case that certain portions of the logic can be run at a number of differing frequencies that are selectable at the beginning of a simulation run. Such logic, with a run-time selectable frequency of operation, presents unique challenges for monitoring count events.

With reference now to FIG. 6A, there is depicted a gate level representation of exemplary logic for one counter of counters 421 within instrumentation logic block 420 depicted in FIG. 4B. Each counter of 421 is represented by a multi-bit simulator latch 600. Simulator latch 600 is initialized by the simulation runtime environment to a value of zero at the beginning of a simulation run. Simulator latch 600 is updated every simulator cycle and is driven by multiplexor 601. Multiplexor 601, controlled by selector signal 602, selects between signal 613, the current value of simulator latch 600, and signal 605, the current value of simulator latch 600 incremented by 1 by incrementor 604, to serve as the next cycle value for simulator latch 600.

By selecting signal 605, multiplexor 601 causes the counter value within simulator latch 600 to be incremented when a count event occurs. It should be noted, however, that simulator latch 600 is updated every simulator cycle irrespective of the number of simulator cycles that correspond to a design cycle for the logic being monitored by a counting instrument. Logical AND gate 606 and simulator latch 607 serve to disable the monitoring of count event signal 609 in a manner similar to that described above for the disabling of failure events. Signal 608 is count event signal 609 further qualified by signal 610 by means of logical AND gate 611.

Signal 610 insures that simulator latch 600 will be incremented, if count event signal 609 is active, only once per design cycle for the logic being monitored by a counting instrument irrespective of the number of simulation cycles utilized to model the design cycle. This clocking normalization is necessary to ensure that the event counts recorded in counters 421 correspond directly to the number of design cycles the event occurred in and not the number of simulator cycles the event occurred in. For example if an event occurs in two design cycles where design cycle require four simulators cycles, it is preferable to have the event counter reflect a value of two rather than a value of eight as would occur if the counter were allowed to update in every simulator cycle.

Furthermore, if the count event being monitored is

within a portion of the logic with a run-time selectable frequency of operation, it is useful to have the count registers reflect the number of occurrences of the event in terms of design cycles. For example, consider a circumstance where a count event occurs twice during two different simulation runs. In the first run, assume that four simulator cycles are needed to represent each design cycle. Further assume in the second run that twelve simulator cycles are necessary to represent each design cycle. Without a clocking normalization mechanism, the first run would indicate that the event occurred eight times (two occurrences times four simulator cycles per occurrence) and the second run would indicate that the event occurred twenty-four times (two occurrences times twelve simulator cycles per occurrence) when in fact the event actually only occurred twice in both simulation runs. Therefore, it would be advantageous to limit the updating of counters 421 such that each counter is only updated once per design cycle irrespective of the number of simulator cycles, possibly variable at run-time, needed to represent a design cycle.

In simulation models in which multiple simulator cycles are utilized to represent a single design cycle, explicit clocking signals are utilized within the model to control the updating of the various design storage elements. These clocking signals specify in which simulator cycles the simulator latches representing design storage elements are allowed to update. A clocking signal is asserted high for some contiguous number of simulator cycles either at the beginning or end

5 of the design cycle and asserted low for the remaining simulator cycles within the design cycle. If the clocking signal is asserted high during the beginning of the design cycle, the clock is referred to as a "high-active" clock and, likewise, if the clocking signal is asserted low during the beginning of the design cycle, the clock is referred to as a "low-active" clock.

10 15 20 Each count event signal has an associated qualifying signal as specified by counter declaration comments **455** as described above. Typically, these qualifying signals are connected to the clocking signals within the design responsible for updating the storage elements within the portion of logic monitored by the count event. The qualifying signal for the count event for simulator latch **600**, qualifying signal **612**, is depicted as a high-active qualifier signal. Qualifying signal **612** is processed by simulator latch **613** and logical AND gate **614**, to produce signal **610** which is active high for one and only one simulator cycle within the design cycle delineated by qualifying signal **612**.

25 30 Turning now to **FIG. 6B** there is illustrated a simplified timing diagram that demonstrates operation of simulator latch **613** and logical AND gate **614** assuming clocking qualifying signal **612** is a high active clocking signal of fifty percent duty cycle for a design cycle that occurs over a 10- simulation cycle period. Signal **615**, the output of simulator latch **613**, is qualifying signal **612** delayed by one simulator cycle. Signal **615** is

inverted and logically ANDed with qualifying signal 612 to produce signal 610, a high-active pulse that is asserted for the first simulator cycle of the design cycle. In a similar fashion, if the qualifying clock signal is low active, qualifying signal 612 would be inverted and signal 615 would be uninverted by logical AND gate 614. This would produce a single simulator cycle active high pulse during the first simulator cycle of the design cycle. Qualifying signal 610, by qualifying count event signal 609 by means of logical AND gate 611, insures that counter 600 is incremented only once per design cycle irrespective of the number of simulator cycles utilized to represent a design cycle.

In contrast to cycle simulators, another class of simulators known as "event-driven" simulators is commonly utilized. In an event driven simulator, time is modeled in a more continuous manner. Each rising or falling edge of a signal or storage element within a design is modeled with specific regard to the physical time at which the signal transition occurred. In such simulators, the simulator latches operate in a slightly different manner than for a cycle based simulator. A simulator latch in an event driven simulator is controlled directly by a clocking signal. A new value is loaded into the simulator latch on either the rising or falling edge of the clocking signal (called a "positive-edge triggered" latch and a "negative-edge triggered" latch respectively). To practice the current invention within

an event driven simulator, latch 613 and logical gates 614 and 611 are unnecessary. Rather, counter latch 600 is replaced by a positive or negative edge triggered simulator latch based on the polarity of qualifying signal 612. Qualifying signal 612 is connected directly to simulator latch 600 and directly controls the updates of counter latch 600 insuring that the latch is updated only once per design cycle.

Returning to FIG. 6A, incrementor 604 represents but one possible mechanism that may be utilized to implement the next logic state for a given counter within the present invention. As depicted in FIG. 6A, incrementor 604 ensures that counters 421 within a model are cycled through a series of values whose binary patterns correspond to the customary representation of non-negative integers. In one embodiment of the present invention, incrementor 604 is comprised of an adder that increments the current value of counter 600 by a unit value each time signal 605 is selected by selector signal 602. This exemplary implementation provides for convenience of decoding the value of counter 600 at the termination of a simulation run, but does so at a cost in overhead that is not acceptable in many simulators.

For software simulators, one of two basic approaches may be utilized to model an incrementor, such as incrementor 604. In the first approach, the incrementor is modeled directly by an ADD or INCREMENT instruction in the simulation execution model. When incrementors are

modeled directly as a single instruction within the simulation execution model, the use of incrementor 604 provides for efficient counters within a simulation execution model.

5

However, many software simulators and virtually all hardware simulators model incrementor functions as a set of gates that are replicated essentially without change at each bit position of the counter. Within a software simulator, these gates must be translated into a sequence of instructions. In a hardware simulator, these gates are explicitly replicated for each counter as individual gates. Due to implementation or structural limitations, many software simulators are incapable of modeling an incrementor in any other manner than as a set of gates. Clearly, for these software simulators that must model incrementors as a number of gates and therefore as a sequence of instructions, a performance loss will result over those software simulators that model incrementors as a single increment or add instruction. Likewise, for hardware simulators, the number of gates required for each adder, which must be modeled directly by gates within the hardware simulator, can prove to be a significant burden.

10

DRAFT  
2015  
10/20/2015  
10:20 AM  
Page 20

25

The method and system of the present invention alleviate these difficulties by implementing a linear feedback shift register as the counting means within counting instrumentation. As explained below, appropriate configuration and utilization of such a liner feedback shift register results in an efficient method of

30

incrementing a counter that avoids the overhead associated with incrementor 604.

With reference now to FIG. 7, there is depicted a linear feedback shift register (LFSR) counter 700 consisting of a shift register 704 and "exclusive NOR" (XNOR) gate 706. Various methods of constructing LFSRs are known to those skilled in the art. As illustrated in FIG. 7, LFSR counter 700 includes a modified shift register 704 that may replace register 600 and incrementor 604 of FIG. 6A. LFSR counter 700 also includes multiplexor 601 (replicated bit-by-bit within LFSR 704) which provide feedback paths 616. Feedback path 616 provides a means for shift register 704 to maintain its current value during those simulator cycles in which no count pulse trigger (signal 602) is received. For hardware and software design simulators in which, for logistical or other reasons, incrementation of counters must be accomplished utilizing a set of gates for each counter, shift register 704 replaces register 600 within the counter logic depicted in FIG. 6A. The need for incrementor 604 is thus eliminated and is replaced by XNOR gate 706. In this manner, register 600 and incrementor 604 are replaced utilizing a more efficient logic structure having substantially reduced overhead. Counters 421 of FIG. 4B, will therefore consist of LFSR-based configurations such as LFSR counter 700 whose values can be decoded at the end of a simulation run to reveal their corresponding integral values.

30

Shift register 704 can be of any desired length. In a preferred embodiment, shift register 704 is a 22 bit

register, although larger or smaller registers may be employed. Shift register 704 consists of latches 718 arranged in a serial fashion such that a given latch's output is utilized as input to the next latch 718 within shift register 704. In addition, a select subset of latches 718 within shift register 704 have their outputs sourced to XNOR gate 706. XNOR gate 706 is utilized to provide an input for the first latch within shift register 704.

10

The LFSR is a logic structure that, when properly configured, will sequence through all possible bit patterns with the exception of the all-ones pattern (it is possible to construct LFSRs which exclude the all-zeros pattern or LFSRs that cycle through all possible bit patterns). For example, in a 22 bit LFSR, bits 1 and 22 may be selected for inputs to XNOR gate 706 to provide a sequence of bit patterns in shift register 704 which traverses every possible permutation with the exception of the all-ones pattern. Shift register 704 must be loaded with an initial value that is not the all ones pattern. This may be accomplished automatically by initializing all latches to a binary zero value within the simulator, or by utilizing the control program that drives the simulator to explicitly set these latches to binary zeros.

25

After initialization, the numeric pattern held by bit positions 718 of shift register 704 will cycle through a specific and predictable pattern in a repeating fashion. That is to say, for any given bit pattern present in shift register 704, there is a specific, unique pattern the shift register will subsequently

30

assume upon being shifted and therefore, the sequence of patterns through which the shift register cycles is fixed and repeats in a predictable manner. Due to these properties, LFSR counter 700 can be utilized as a counting means within for the instrumentation detection means previously described. By assigning the value of "zero" to a pre-selected starting value (say the all zeros pattern for shift register 704), the value of "one" to the next bit pattern formed by shifting the LFSR, and so on, the LFSR can serve as a counter. To be useful as a counter, the bit patterns within shift register 704 must be converted back to their corresponding integer values. This is easily accomplished for LFSRs with a small number of bits (less than 25 bits) by means of a lookup table consisting of an array of values, where the index of the array corresponds to the LFSR bit pattern value and the entry in the array is the decoded integer value for the LFSR. For LFSRs with a larger number of bits, software decoding techniques can be utilized to decode the LFSR value by simulating the operation of the LFSR.

As illustrated in FIG. 7, the logic necessary to implement LFSR counter 700 consists of the single XNOR gate 706 with two feedback inputs. While the number of required feedback gates and inputs thereto may vary in proportion to different possible lengths of an LFSR, in general, for typical LFSRs (less than 200 bits), only one XNOR gate with a relatively small number of inputs (less than 5 bits) is required. This is in marked contrast to the several logic gates per bit required for conventional incrementors. Therefore, significant savings in counter overhead can be achieved by substituting LFSR-based counter 700 for the incrementor structure depicted in FIG. 6A, especially for simulators that model

incrementors utilizing logic gate based representations.

While the above described system and method provides a practical means of instrumenting simulation models, in certain circumstances additional techniques may be used in order to enhance the ease with which a user may instrument a simulation model. In design, it often occurs that there are common design or instrumentation logic constructs that are often repeated and possess a regular structure.

By utilizing knowledge of the regular structure of these design and instrumentation logic constructs, it is often possible to define a syntax that describes the instrumentation logic with considerably greater efficiency than would be possible utilizing a conventional HDL construct. By utilizing this syntax as an unconventional HDL comment within a design VHDL file, it is possible to create instrumentation entities with considerably greater ease and efficiency.

Such comments within a design entity will be referred to hereinbelow as an embedded instrumentation entity comment while the instrumentation logic created by such a comment will be referred to as an embedded instrumentation entity.

A common logic design construct is the so-called "finite state machine". A finite state machine typically consists of a number of storage elements to maintain the "state" of the state machine and combinatorial logic that produces the next state of the state machine and its outputs. These constructs occur with great frequency in typical logic designs and it is advantageous to be able to efficiently instrument these constructs.

5           A typical set of count and failure events for a finite state machine includes counting the number of times a state machine cycles from a given current state to some next state, counting the number of functional cycles the state machine spends in each state, ensuring that the state machine does not enter an illegal state, and ensuring that the state machine does not proceed from a current given state to an illegal next state. This list of events is but one of many possible sets of events 10 that can be used to characterize a finite state machine and is used in an illustrative manner only.

20           With reference now to **FIG. 8A** there is depicted a representation of an exemplary state machine **800**. Exemplary state machine **800** consists of five states, labeled **S0**, **S1**, **S2**, **S3**, and **S4** respectively, and nine legal state transitions between these states. In what follows, it is assumed that state machine **800** consists of three latches and a set of combinatorial logic to produce the next state function. It is further assumed that the states are encoded into the three latches following the usual and customary encoding for integers. That is to say, state **S0** gets an encoding of  $000_{bin}$ , state **S1** gets an encoding of  $001_{bin}$ , state **S2** gets and encoding of  $010_{bin}$ , and so on. 25

30           With reference now to **FIG. 8B** there is shown an exemplary design entity **850** referred to as entity **FSM** with instance name **FSM**, which contains one instance of state machine **800**. Furthermore, a signal output **801**, "fsm\_state(0 to 2)" contains a three bit signal directly connected to the outputs of the three storage elements comprising the state elements of state machine **800**. A signal input **802**, **fsm\_clock**, applies a clocking signal 35 that controls the storage elements for state machine **800**.

5           In order to instrument state machine **800**, it would conventionally be necessary to create an instrumentation entity VHDL file containing the logic necessary to detect the desired state machine events and pass them through to count and fail events. Such an instrumentation entity file with appropriate instrumentation entity descriptor comments would typically require substantially more lines of code than the HDL description of the state machine itself. Such a circumstance is undesirable. However, in 10           the case of a regular logic structure such as a finite state machine, it is possible to define a brief syntax that characterizes the finite state machine without resorting to a separate instrumentation VHDL entity.

15           With reference now to **FIG. 8C** there is illustrated an exemplary HDL file **860** for generating design entity **850** with an embedded instrumentation entity for monitoring the behavior of FSM **800**. Specifically, an embedded instrumentation entity comment **852** is illustrated that conforms to a preferred embodiment of the present invention. As depicted in **FIG. 8C**, embedded instrumentation entity comment **852** comprises a number of distinct sections including: a prologue and embedded instrumentation name declaration **853**, a state machine clock declaration **859**, a state element declaration **854**, a state naming declaration **855**, a state element encoding declaration **856**, a state machine arc declaration **857**, and an epilogue **858**.

25           30           Prologue and embedded instrumentation entity name declaration comment **853** serves to declare a name that is associated with this embedded instrumentation entity. This comment line also serves to delineate the beginning

of an embedded instrumentation entity comment sequence.

As further depicted in FIG. 8C, declaration comment 853 assumes a non-conventional syntax of the form: "--!! Embedded TYPE: name", wherein "--!! Embedded" serves to declare an embedded instrumentation entity, "TYPE" declares the type of the embedded instrumentation entity - FSM in this case, and "name" is the name associated with this embedded instrumentation entity.

10 State machine clock declaration comment 859 is utilized to define a signal that is the clocking control for the finite state machine.

State element declaration comment 854 is utilized to specify the state-machine state storage elements. This comment declares the storage elements or signal names that constitute the state-machine state. In state machine 800, the signals fsm\_state(0 to 2) constitute the state machine state information.

25 State naming declaration comment 855 is utilized to declare labels to associate with various states of the given state machine. These labels are utilized in state machine arc declaration comment 857 when defining the legal state transitions within the given state machine.

30 State element encoding declaration comment 856 is utilized to define a correspondence between the state machine labels defined by state naming declaration comment 855 and the facilities declared by state element declaration comment 854. In the example shown, the labels of comment 855 are associated by position with the encodings given in comment 856 (i.e., the state labeled "S0" has the encoding 000<sub>bin</sub>, the state labeled "S1" has

the encoding  $001_{\text{bin}}$ , etc.).

State-machine arc declaration comment **857** defines the legal state transitions within the state machine. The various transitions of the state machine are given by terms of the form "X => Y" where X and Y are state machine state labels given by comment **855** and X represents a previous state machine state and Y a subsequent state machine state.

Epilogue comment **858** serves to close the embedded instrumentation entity comment. The specific syntax and nature of the comments between the prologue and embedded instrumentation name declaration and the epilogue will vary with the specific needs of the type of embedded instrumentation entity being declared.

Embedded instrumentation entity comment 852 is inserted within the VHDL file of the design entity that contains the finite state machine in question. The embedding of instrumentation for finite state machine 800 is made possible by the non-conventional comment syntax illustrated in FIG. 8C and is substantially more concise than a conventional HDL instrumentation entity suitable for accomplishing the same function.

Utilizing such embedded non-conventional comments, the system of the present invention creates an instrumentation entity, as described below, for instrumenting the state machine without the need to resort to creating a separate HDL file instrumentation entity.

To support compilation and creation of embedded instrumentation entities, the previously described

compilation process of **FIG. 4D** is enhanced as described herein. First, HDL compiler **462** is altered to recognize the presence of embedded instrumentation entity comments. If, during compilation of a design HDL file, and subject to the constraints described above for incremental compilation, HDL compiler **462** detects one or more embedded instrumentation entity comments within the source code file, HDL compiler **462** places a special marker into design entity proto data structure **463**.

10

When instrumentation load tool **464** is passed control, proto data structures **463** are searched in order to locate the special marker placed by HDL compiler **462** indicating embedded instrumentation entity comments. Such protos represent the design HDL files with embedded instrumentation entities that have been re-compiled in the current compilation cycle.

09152021-A22000

25

30

When instrumentation load tool **464** locates a proto data structure **463** with the special marker, the corresponding VHDL source code file for the design entity is opened and parsed to locate the one or more embedded instrumentation entity comments. For each of these comments, instrumentation load tool **464** creates a specially named proto data structure **463a**, and further generates a corresponding instance data structure **465a** that is instantiated within the design entity. In addition, instrumentation load tool **464** removes the special marker inserted by HDL compiler **462** to prevent unnecessary re-instrumentation of the design proto on subsequent re-compiles.

Within these created embedded instrumentation entity protos, instrumentation load tool **464** directly creates

the necessary instrumentation logic required by the embedded instrumentation entity without the need for a VHDL file to specify this instrumentation and connects this logic to instrumentation logic block **420** of **FIG. 4D**.

5 The updated design proto along with the embedded instrumentation entity proto and instance data structure are saved to disk and serve as inputs to subsequent compiles, removing the need to produce embedded instrumentation entities on subsequent recompiles.

10 With reference now to **FIG. 9**, design entity **850** is shown instrumented with embedded instrumentation entity **900** in accordance with a preferred embodiment of the present invention. Embedded instrumentation entity **900** is created as a proto instantiated within design entity **850** wherein the embedded non-conventional instrumentation entity comment occurs. The embedded instrumentation entity thus may be replicated automatically within an overall design wherever the specific design entity is instantiated.

25 Embedded instrumentation entity **900** is named in a unique manner based on the name associated with the embedded instrumentation entity by the prologue and embedded instrumentation name declaration comment. This name is pre-pended with a special character (shown as a "\$" in **FIG. 9**) that is not a recognized naming convention for the platform HDL. In this manner, the names of the embedded instrumentation entities cannot conflict with the names of any other design or standard instrumentation entities.

30 Furthermore, the names associated with the various events defined by the embedded instrumentation entity (the "varname" for the count events, for example) are

5 also derived in a fixed manner from the name associated with the embedded instrumentation entity. The user is required to ensure that the names of embedded instrumentation entity events do not conflict with the names of standard instrumentation entity events and further than the names of the embedded instrumentation entities within a given design do not themselves conflict.

10 It should also be noted that if a design entity contains more than one embedded instrumentation entity, the embedding process described with reference to **FIG. 8B** and **FIG. 9** is simply repeated for each such instrumentation entity. In addition, since the protos for the embedded instrumentation entities are created at the same time as the design protos itself, no changes to the BOM mechanism used for incremental compiles are required. The protos for the embedded instrumentation entities can be considered, for purposes of incremental compilations, to be mere extensions to the design proto itself.

15 The present invention discloses a method and system for naming events within a simulation model that prevents name collisions between events in different instrumentation entities, allows for the arbitrary re-use of components of a model in models of arbitrarily increasing size, and furthermore allows for processing designated events in a hierarchical or non-hierarchical manner.

25 30 35 When all instances of an event are considered as a whole without regard to specific instances, the event is considered in a "non-hierarchical" sense. Likewise, when an event is considered with regard to each and every

5 instance, it is considered in a "hierarchical" sense. When considering count events, for example, it is often convenient to track the number of times a particular count event occurred in the aggregate without concern to exactly how many times the count event occurred in each particular instance within a simulation model.

10 15 Each type of event: count, fail, and harvest, is given a separate event namespace by construction. Each event class is therefore an independent group preventing naming collisions between the event types. The data structure of the present invention is independently applied to each of the different event types to ensure correctness within each event class.

20 25 In the embodiments illustrated in Figures 10A, 10B, 10C, and 10D, the system and method of the present invention are described with respect to count events. One skilled in the art will appreciate and understand the extensions necessary to apply the same techniques to other event classes such as failures or harvests.

30 35 With reference to FIG. 10A, there is depicted a block diagram representation of simulation model 1000 containing a number of design and instrumentation entities. As illustrated in FIG. 10A, simulation model 1000 includes two instances of a design entity X, with instance names X1 and X2 respectively.

40 45 Within each of design entity instances X1 and X2 is instantiated an instance of an instrumentation entity B3, 1012a and 1012b. Design entity instances X1 and X2 further comprise instances, 1014a and 1014b, respectively, of design entity Z which further contains instances, 1016a and 1016b, of instrumentation entity B1

and instances, **1018a** and **1018b**, of instrumentation entity **B2**.

Finally, simulation model **1000** includes an instance of design entity **Y**, with instance name **Y**, containing an instance of instrumentation entity **B4 1022**. Design entity instance **Y** contains an instance, **1024**, of design entity **Z** with further instances, **1016c** and **1018c**, of instrumentation entities **B1** and **B2** respectively.

In what follows the methods of the present invention for uniquely naming events will be considered in the context of exemplary model **1000**. It will be assumed in the following description that each instrumentation entity (**B1**, **B2**, **B3**, and **B4**) has declared a single count event with event name "count1".

In accordance with the method and system of the present invention, the user must uniquely name each type of event (count, fail, or harvest) within a specific instrumentation entity, i.e., the user cannot declare any two events of the same type within the same instrumentation entity with the same event name. Such a constraint does not conflict with the stated goals of the present invention in that a given instrumentation entity is usually created by a specific person at a specific point in time, and maintaining unique names within such a limited circumstance presents only a moderate burden to the user. The data structure disclosed herein does, however, prevent all name collisions between events in different instrumentation entities, and allows for processing the events in a hierarchical and/or non-hierarchical manner.

As previously explained, an HDL naming convention

must uniquely identify all the entities within a given design. This constraint is inherent to HDLs and applies to design entities as well as instrumentation entities. In accordance with conventional VHDL entity naming constructs, it is technically possible for two design entities to share the same entity name, *entity\_name*. However, such identically named entities must be encapsulated within a VHDL library from which a valid VHDL model may be constructed. In such a circumstance, *entity\_name*, as it is utilized herein, is equivalent to the VHDL library name concatenated by a period (".") to the entity name as declared in the entity declaration.

Pre-pending a distinct VHDL library name to the entity name disambiguates entities sharing the same entity name. Most HDLs include a mechanism such as this for uniquely naming each design entity. Design entities must be unambiguously named in order to determine which particular entity is called for in any given instance in a simulation model. The present invention employs the prevailing naming mechanism of the native HDL to assign unique entity names for design entities throughout a given model.

The present invention leverages this uniqueness property of entity names and the uniqueness of each instance's instantiation identifier to create an "extended event identifier" for each event within the simulation model.

With reference to **FIG. 10B**, there is illustrated a representation of the fields in an extended event identifier data structure in accordance with one embodiment of the present invention. The extended event identifier begins with instantiation identifier field

1030. This field, as described hereinbefore, consists of the instance identifiers, proceeding from the top level entity to the direct ancestor of the given instance within the simulation model separated by periods (".").  
5 This string is unique for each and every instance of the event within the model. The extended event identifier further includes an instrumentation entity field 1032, a design entity field 1034, and an eventname field 1036.

10 Instrumentation entity field 1032 contains the name of the instrumentation entity (or the name assigned to an embedded instrumentation entity) that generates the simulation event. Design entity field 1034 contains the entity name of the design entity in which the event occurs. Eventname field 1036 is the name given to the event in the instrumentation entity description comments of an instrumentation entity or the event name assigned to an event within an embedded instrumentation entity. These four namespace fields comprise a unique identifier for each event within a simulation model that allows for the re-use of components within other models without risk of name collisions and the consideration of events in a hierarchical or non-hierarchical sense.

25 With reference now to FIG. 10C, there is shown a list of extended event identifiers for model 1000. Event identifiers 1040, 1041, 1042, 1043, 1044, 1045, 1046, 1047, and 1048 are declared within simulation model 1000 to designate count events having eventname "count1". The 30 extended event identification procedure of the present invention will be described in the context of these extended event identifiers.

35 The uniqueness of the names in design entity name field 1034 is a primary distinguishing factor between

events. By including the design entity name in the extended event identifier, each design entity is, in effect, given a unique namespace for the events associated with that design entity, i.e., events within a given design entity cannot have name collisions with events associated with other design entities.

It is still possible, however, to have name collisions between events defined by different instrumentation entities that are incorporated within a single design entity. Events **1041** and **1042**, for example, if identified solely by the design entity name, have a name collision. Both are events with eventname "count1" within design entity Z, and if labeled as such, are indistinguishable. In order to alleviate a naming collision between events **1041** and **1042**, the present invention employs instrumentation entity field **1032**. By referencing the design entity and instrumentation entity names, both of which are unique with respect to themselves and each other, a unique event namespace is created for each instrumentation entity associated with any given design entity. For example, event identifier **1041** and **1042** would be in conflict (both named Z.count1), unless the respective instrumentation entity names are included within the extended event identifier to produce names *B1.Z.count1* and *B2.Z.count2* for these events.

It should be noted that it is possible to uniquely name each event by using instrumentation entity name field **1032** alone. Due to the uniqueness property of instrumentation entity names, event names that are only named by the instrumentation entity name and the event name field will be necessarily unique.

However, such a naming scheme is insufficient for

associating events with a given design entity. In practice, it is desirable to associate events with the design entity in which they occur rather than associating them with the potentially numerous instrumentation entities that are utilized to track them. Moreover, referencing the appropriate design entity within the eventname allows all the events associated with a given design entity to be centrally referenced without the need to ascertain the names of all the instrumentation entities associated with the given design entity. The data structure of the present invention utilizes both the instrumentation entity and design entity names in naming events for ease of reference at the cost of moderate uniqueness redundancy in the event names.

In an alternative embodiment of the present invention, the instrumentation entity name is not included within the extended event identifier. Referring to **FIG. 10D**, such an alternative extended event identification data structure is depicted. As shown in **FIG. 10D**, events are named by instantiation identifier field 1030, design entity name field 1034, and event name field 1036.

Such a data structure provides name collision protection between design entities but not within design entities. That is, the user must ensure that events names for events associated with a given design entity do not collide. In case of user error in this regard, model build tools may be utilized to detect an event name collision condition during model compilation. The alternative data structure depicted in **FIG. 10D** provides for simpler naming and referencing of events at the expense of requiring the user to prevent name collisions for events associated with a given design entity.

5           Returning to **FIG. 10B**, the combination of instrumentation entity field **1032**, design entity name field **1034**, and eventname field **1036** for a given event, provides a unique identifier for any given event without regard to multiple instantiations of the event. In order to uniquely distinguish between multiple instantiations 10 of an event, instantiation identifier field **1030** is included in the extended event identifier. Instantiation identifier field **1030** field, by its construction, provides a unique string for any instance of an entity within any simulation model.

15           When evaluating occurrences of an event in a non-hierarchical sense, instantiation identifier field **1030** is ignored while searching for matching events. As illustrated in **FIG. 10C**, for example, a non-hierarchical query for the number of time a "count1" event occurs within design entity *Z* as detected by instrumentation entity *B1*, utilizes the following list of count eventnames:

X1.Z	B1	Z	COUNT1
X2.Z	B1	Z	COUNT1
Y.Z	B1	Z	COUNT1.

25           These count events are added together to form an aggregate count of the total number of time the specific event occurred within the simulation model.

30           A hierarchical query includes specific criteria to match against the hierarchy field to limit the counter or counters found to specific instances of the requested event. For example, a query to obtain the count1 event of instrumentation entity *B1* within the X1.Z instance of design entity *Z* utilizes the following count eventname:

X1.Z B1 Z COUNT1,

which represents the number of times the count event was counted by instrumentation entity *B1* within design entity instance *X1.Z* for a particular simulation interval.

By providing matching model hierarchy criteria against instantiation identifier field **1030**, it is possible to consider the events with respect to their particular instance or instances within the model, i.e., a hierarchical query. A non-hierarchical query merely ignores the hierarchy field and returns all the instances of the requested events within the model.

With reference to FIG. 11A, there is depicted a block diagram illustrating a simulation model 1100 in which the hierarchical event processing of the present invention is applicable. Simulation model 1100 comprises a top-level design entity 1130 in which a pair of lower-level design entities 1102 and 1120 are instantiated. A design entity 1104 containing instrumentation entity 1106 is included within design entity 1102. As illustrated in FIG. 11A, instrumentation entity 1106 includes logic 1110 for generating a simulation event 1108 from signal set 1132 from within design entity 1104. Design entity 1120 includes an instrumentation entity 1122 that generates a simulation event 1124 using signal set 1134.

Utilizing the techniques described hereinbefore, generating a hierarchical event that is some logical combination of events **1108** and **1124** requires the creation of an instrumentation entity associated with top level

design entity **1130** that references signal sets **1132** and **1134**. Conventionally, such an instrumentation entity would substantially reproduce instrumentation logic **1110** and **1126** to process signal sets **1132** and **1134**, respectively, thus producing a copy of events **1108** and **1124**. Such a procedure is inefficient and prone to error. If, for example, changes are made to any or all of signal sets **1132** and **1134**, or instrumentation logic **1110** and **1126**, these changes would have to be accurately repeated in the instrumentation entity logic for the combined event.

The present invention provides a mechanism whereby events, such as events **1108** and **1124**, are directly referenced and utilized as inputs to cross-hierarchical instrumentation entities. In this manner, signal connections **1132** and **1134**, as well as instrumentation logic **1110** and **1126**, are directly re-utilized to produce the desired hierarchical event.

To facilitate direct referencing of events within simulation models, a specialized data structure is implemented within instrumentation entity input port map comment syntax. In accordance with a preferred embodiment of the present invention, this data structure directly connects input ports of instrumentation entities to cross-hierarchical events within a simulation model.

For the embodiment depicted in **FIG. 11A**, an instrumentation entity **1150** is instantiated within top-level design entity **1130** to generate a hierarchical event

**1156** that is some function of events **1108** and **1124**. As illustrated in **FIG. 11A**, instrumentation entity **1150** includes a pair of inputs **1151** and **1152** that are directly connected to events **1124** and **1108**, respectively, utilizing the augmented syntax described below. These input connections are logically combined using instrumentation logic **1154** to produce a cross-hierarchical event **1156**.

With reference to **FIG. 11B**, there is depicted a set of input port mapping comments for performing cross-hierarchical processing of simulation model events in accordance with the teachings of the present invention. In what follows, it is assumed that events **1108** and **1124** are count events with event names `event_1108` and `event_1124`, respectively, and that these events are connected to input ports `event_1108_in` and `event_1124_in` on instrumentation entity **1150**. As depicted in **FIG. 11B**, a first input port mapping comment **1161** contains data for referencing event **1108** to input port `event_1108_in`. A second input port mapping comment **1162** contains data for referencing event **1124** to input port `event_1124_in`. It should be noted that each of input port mapping comments **1161** and **1162** includes a pre-pended non-conventional comment identifier, `--!!`, that is utilized by the HDL compiler (such as compiler **462** in **FIG. 4D**) to maintain the port mapping comments separate from the design.

To facilitate connection of a simulation event to an instrumentation entity input port, input port mapping comments **1161** and **1162** consist of two distinct parts: an

5 instance identifier and an event identifier. The instance identifier is a string consisting of instance names (in descending hierarchical order) of all design entities between and including the design entity containing the instrumentation entity of the cross-  
10 hierarchical event being defined (i.e., the highest level design entity for the cross-hierarchical event), and the design entity in which the event that is utilized in generating the cross-hierarchical event. If the design entity containing the hierarchical event is the same as the design entity containing the event to be connected to, the instance identifier is a null string. A pair of  
15 instance identifiers **1163** and **1164**, within input port mapping comments **1161** and **1162**, respectively, specify that events **1124** and **1108** originate from signals within design entity **1120** and **1104** respectively.

20 Input port mapping comments **1161** and **1162** further include event identifiers **1165** and **1166**, that identify input simulation events in terms of local instrumentation entities **1106** and **1122**, respectively. In accordance with the embodiment depicted in **FIG. 11B**, each event identifier consists of a string beginning with an open bracket ("[") character and ending with a closed bracket ("]") character. Between these brackets, three sub-  
25 strings, delineated by period (".") characters, comprise a data structure utilized to identify a specific event from which the cross-hierarchical event is defined. The first sub-string within an event identifier is the instance name of the instrumentation entity containing the event. The second sub-string is a string specifying the type of the event ("count", "fail", or "harvest").

Finally, the third sub-string is the event name of the given event as specified in the declaration comment for the event. Each event identifier string uniquely identifies a single event within a given design entity. As depicted in **FIG. 11B**, event identifier strings 1165 and 1166 identify events 1108 and 1124 respectively.

In accordance with an alternate embodiment of the present invention, the event identifier naming structure is modified slightly for events that are labeled in accordance with **FIG. 10D** (event names that do not include the instrumentation entity name). When an instrumentation identifier is absent from the extended event identifier, the event identifier string with an input port mapping comment consists of two sub-strings: a string denoting the type of event to connect to; and a string providing the name of the event separated by a period (".") character. The instrumentation entity name is not required in this case since all events of a given type associated with a given design entity will have unique names. The model build tools of the present invention will automatically search all instrumentation entities associated with the design entity called out by the instance identifier to determine which instrumentation entity generates an event having the name and type provided in the event identifier string.

Referring to **FIG. 11C**, there is illustrated a set of data structures for performing hierarchical processing of simulation model events in accordance with a second embodiment of the present invention. In the depicted embodiment, a pair of input port mapping comments 1171

and 1172 employ a syntax compatible with the event naming data structure depicted in **FIG. 10D**.

5 Input port mapping comment 1171 connects event 1108 to input port `event_1108_in` on instrumentation entity 1150. Likewise, input port mapping comment 1172 connects event 1124 to input port `event_1124_in` on instrumentation entity 1150. By utilizing the augmented syntax of **FIG. 11B** or **FIG. 11C** it is possible to create hierarchical events by connecting the inputs of instrumentation entities to events within the simulation model.

10

15 The above described system and method provides for practical instrumentation of simulation models and allows for efficient implementation of instrumentation logic through embedded instrumentation entities. Embedded instrumentation entities, as described hereinabove, are however necessarily limited to task-specific implementations. As described with reference to **Figures 12A** and **12B**, the present invention further provides for a more flexible implementation of instrumentation logic in a more unstructured manner.

20

25 It is often necessary to tailor instrumentation logic to address unique problems and circumstances. Instrumentation logic of a specific and yet non-predefined nature that is designed in accordance with the techniques disclosed herein with reference to **Figures 12A** and **12B** is referred herein as "random instrumentation logic." A data construct consisting of general logic primitives (boolean operators, storage elements, etc.) and an interconnection method for these primitives is utilized for implementing such random instrumentation logic.

30

092000226US1  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30

For instrumenting a simulation model as described heretofore, an HDL such as VHDL or Verilog is utilized as a platform from which instrumentation logic is generated. Appropriate instrumentation entity descriptor comments within design entity source code files couple the resultant instrumentation entities to designated target design entities within a simulation model.

In addition to entity descriptor comments within a design entity source code file, the foregoing instrumentation technique requires a separate HDL file in which the instrumentation entity is described. As explained with reference to **Figures 12A and 12B**, the present invention provides a method, system, and data structure for instrumenting design entities within a simulation model while avoiding the design process overhead required for creating a separate instrumentation entity HDL file.

In accordance with the teachings of the present invention, random instrumentation logic is directly deployed within target design entities in terms of individualized and customizable instrumentation descriptor comments. Such instrumentation descriptor comments are encoded within the target design entity HDL source code file and provide a means for the describing random instrumentation logic, events, and interconnections between the created instrumentation logic and the target design entity. The random instrumentation logic is inserted into the simulation model in a manner similar to the techniques used for embedded instrumentation entities to produce an instrumentation entity without the need for the creation of an explicit HDL instrumentation entity file.

With reference to **FIG. 12A**, there is illustrated a representative target design entity **1200** wherein random instrumentation logic is implemented in accordance with a preferred embodiment of the present invention.

Instantiated within target design entity **1200** is a design entity **1201**. As further depicted in **FIG. 12A**, an instrumentation entity **1202** is instantiated within design entity **1201**. Instrumentation entity **1202** is designed in accordance with the principles set forth hereinabove to generate a count event **1203** having an event name "count1." Target design entity **1200** further includes an instrumentation entity **1208** that is generated utilizing random instrumentation logic in accordance with a preferred embodiment of the present invention. As depicted in **FIG. 12A**, instrumentation entity **1208** receives as inputs signals *P*, *A*, *B*, and *C* along with count event **1203**.

Instrumentation entity **1208** is constructed by a set of unconventional comments lines within the source code file for target design entity **1200**. These comments may be incorporated at any point within the logic description section of the HDL source code file. HDL compiler **462** (**FIG. 4B**) recognizes the unconventional comments in addition to any comments utilized to instantiate embedded instrumentation entities within design entity **1200**. During the post-compilation/model build phase, instrumentation load tool **464** processes these comments in a manner similar to that utilized for embedded instrumentation entities (described with reference to **Figures 10A-10D**) to generate instrumentation entity **1208**.

A variety of possible syntaxes can be utilized to

5 formulate the unconventional HDL comments required for generating random instrumentation logic within the source code file of a target design entity. As depicted in  
10 **Figure 12B**, much of the syntax of these comments employs syntax similar to the concurrent subset of the VHDL language with the addition of syntactic and semantic enhancements that provide a means of connection between an instrumentation entity and its target design entity. In addition, minor syntactic and semantic enhancements are provided to declare events and intermediate signals.

With reference now to **FIG. 12B**, there is illustrated an exemplary HDL source code file **1220** that describes design entity **1200**. Within HDL source code file **1220**, an entity instantiation **1221** produces design entity **1201**, and assignment statements **1222** are utilized to generate signals *A*, *B*, and *C*. A set of unconventional comments **1223** within HDL source code file **1220** is utilized to produce instrumentation entity **1208**. Comments **1223** are formulated as left-hand side (l.h.s.)/right-hand side (r.h.s.) assignment statements of the form:

{l.h.s.} <= {r.h.s.};

25 where {l.h.s.}, referred to herein after as *lhs*, is the assignment statement target and, {r.h.s.}, referred to herein after as *rhs* is an expression denoting the logical value to be assigned to the statement *lhs*. A number of rules delineate the possible expressions for *lhs* and *rhs* in any legal statement in the instrumentation comments.

As employed within the instrumentation data structure of the present invention, an *lhs* statement may be either an event declaration or the name of a signal that is instantiated within an instrumentation entity. An event declaration is an expression within bracket characters ("[", "]") that generates a new event. Within comments 1223, a statement 1230 produces a count event 1240 from instrumentation entity 1208 (FIG. 12A) having eventname "countname0".

Within an *lhs* event declaration, a first field designates the event type (count, fail, harvest, etc.) and is followed by such other fields as are necessary to declare the event. As illustrated in lines 1230, 1234, and 1236, such event declaration fields follow the same format as the event declaration fields depicted in FIG. 4C.

Comments 1223 further include a line 1232 having an *lhs* that declares a signal *Q* within instrumentation entity 1208. To prevent ambiguity, any signal declared in this manner may not have a name corresponding to the name of any signal present on the top level of target design entity 1200. Conformance to this requirement is verified by instrumentation load tool 464 (FIG. 4D) during processing. Signals declared by an *lhs* expression may be incorporated within an *rhs* expression as shown in lines 1232 and 1234.

An *rhs* consists of logical connectivity expressions and/or functions that combine various signals. Signals

5 within these connectivity expressions may originate from a number of possible sources including: signals declared on the *lhs* of a statement in the instrumentation comments; signals within the target design entity; or signals designating other events within the target design entity.

10 The absence of period (".") or bracket ("[", "]") characters within a signal value description in the *rhs* of a statement, designates the object signal as corresponding to either a signal within the top hierarchical level of the target design entity or to a signal declared on the *lhs* of a statement within the instrumentation language. Signals are named in a mutually exclusive manner by the rules governing creation of signals on the *lhs* of a statement in the instrumentation comments, thereby preventing any ambiguity in the determining the source of the given signal.

20 Signals in *rhs* connectivity expressions can also be connections to signals within entities instantiated within the target design entity. In such a circumstance, the instance names of the entity or entities in the hierarchy enclosing the desired signal are placed before the signal name in hierarchy order, delineated by period (".") characters. For example, the signal in statement 25 **1230** ("Y.P") represents signal **1204** within design entity **1201**. Signals at any level of the target design hierarchy are thus accessible to instrumentation logic generated by the instrumentation language comments.

30

Signals within the instrumentation comment expressions can also designate other events within the target entity. Event identifiers as described hereinbefore for hierarchical events are used to denote such "event" signals. For example, statement **1232** performs a logical AND of instrumentation event **1203** and signal A. The event identifier "Y.[B1.count.count1]" connects instrumentation entity **1208** to instrumentation event **1203**. This notation permits instrumentation events

at any level of design hierarchy within target design entity **1200** to be directly accessed.

As further depicted in FIG. 12B, statement 1232 produces intermediate signal Q within instrumentation entity 1208. This is an example of an instrumentation comment statement declaring a new intermediate signal. These signals can be used in other statements to construct random instrumentation logic of any desired depth or complexity.

Statement **1234** utilizes intermediate signal *Q* along with signal **1206** to produce fail event **1241**. The syntax for fail event declaration includes a field denoting the type of event ("fail"), a field giving the event name for the fail event ("failname0"), and a final field denoting the message to associate with the fail. Finally, statement **1236** produces harvest event **1242**.

In general, the *rhs* expression of any statement in

the instrumentation data structure of the present invention can access any signal or instrumentation event signal within the target design entity utilizing these syntactic mechanisms. These signals can be combined to form new events or intermediate signals that can themselves be further combined to form instrumentation logic of any desired depth or complexity.

Instrumentation comments can be placed anywhere within the logic description section of the target entity source code file. All instrumentation comments within a

file are considered as a whole and produce a single instrumentation entity within the target design entity.

While the invention has been particularly shown as described with reference to a preferred embodiment, it will be understood by those skilled in the art that various changes in form and detail may be made therein without departing from the spirit and scope of the invention. One of the embodiments of the invention can be implemented as sets of instructions resident in random access memory 28 of one or more computer systems configured generally as described in FIG. 1 and FIG. 2. Until required by computer system 10, the set of instructions may be stored in another computer readable storage device, such as disk drive 33 or in a removable storage device such as an optical disk for eventual use in a CD-ROM drive or a floppy disk for eventual use in a floppy disk drive. The set of instructions may be referred to as a computer program product. Further, the set of instructions can be stored in the memory of

another computer and transmitted over a local area network or a wide area network, such as the Internet, when desired by the user. It is therefore contemplated that such modifications can be made without departing from the spirit or scope of the present invention as defined in the appended claims.